







COMPREHENSIVE ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT A DEPARTMENT OF THE COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO | SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2010

## Comprehensive Annual Financial Report


## For the Sacramento County Airport System

An Enterprise Fund of the County of Sacramento, California

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2010 and 2009

### Prepared by: Sacramento County Airport System Finance and Administration Division


Camelia Radulescu, CPA	Sr. Accounting Manager
Claire Stetson	Accounting Manager
Tim McPherson	Sr. Accountant
Gabriela Stan	Sr. Accountant
Imelda Jaime	Accountant
Yolanda Llorente	Accountant



# Sacramento County Airport System Table of Contents

## For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2010 and 2009

	Page
INTRODUCTORY SECTION	
AIRPORT LOCATIONS AND SERVICE AREA	3
CERTIFICATE OF ACHIEVEMENT	
Transmittal Letter	
Organizational Chart	26
LIST OF PRINCIPAL OFFICIALS	27
FINANCIAL SECTION	
Independent Auditor's Report	30
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION)	
BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS:	
Statements of Net Assets	39
Statements of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Assets	
Statements of Cash Flows	
Notes to the Basic Financial Statements	
STATISTICAL SECTION	
Net Assets	69
Changes in Net Assets	
Total Annual Revenues	72
Total Annual Expenses.	
AIRLINE RATES AND CHARGES	74
Airline and Nonairline revenues	75
Debt Service Coverage	76
RATIO OF ANNUAL DEBT SERVICE TO TOTAL EXPENSES	78
Debt Per Enplaned Passenger	78
Outstanding Debt	79
Service Area Population	80
POPULATION/PERSONAL INCOME	
PRINCIPAL EMPLOYERS FOR THE COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO	81
ACTIVITY STATISTICS	82
SCHEDULED AIRLINE SERVICE	83
Principal Customers	
AIRPORT SYSTEM EMPOLYEES	
CAPITAL ASSETS	84
BOND DISCLOSURE SECTION	
Annual Report	
HISTORICAL ENPLANED PASSENGERS	
HISTORICAL AIRCRAFT LANDED WEIGHTS	
AIRLINES' MARKET SHARES OF ENPLANED PASSENGERS	91



## **Introductory Section**

This section contains the following subsections:

Airport Locations and Service Area

Certificate of Achievement


Transmittal Letter

Organizational Chart

List of Principal Officials

Intentionally Blank

### Airport Locations and Service Area



<sup>\*</sup> Line of indifference denotes the Census tracts within which potential customers (residents and visitors) are indifferent about using Sacramento International Airport or one of the three Bay Area airports (Oakland, San Francisco, or Norman Y. Mineta San Jose International Airports), *Defining the Sacramento Catchment Area*, GRA Inc., April 2007.

### Certificate of Achievement for Excellence in Financial Reporting

Presented to

### Sacramento County Airport System, California


For its Comprehensive Annual Financial Report for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009

A Certificate of Achievement for Excellence in Financial Reporting is presented by the Government Finance Officers Association of the United States and Canada to government units and public employee retirement systems whose comprehensive annual financial reports (CAFRs) achieve the highest standards in government accounting and financial reporting.

WITTER STATES OF THE STATES OF

Presiden

**Executive Director** 





#### County of Sacramento

December 3, 2010

To The Public:

The Comprehensive Annual Financial Report for the Sacramento County Airport System (Airport System), for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, is hereby submitted. Responsibility for both the accuracy of the data, and the completeness and fairness of the presentation, including all disclosures, rests with the Airport System. To the best of our knowledge and belief, the enclosed data are accurate in all material respects and are reported in a manner designed to present fairly the financial position and results of operations of the Airport System. All disclosures necessary to enable the reader to gain an understanding of the Airport System's financial activities have been included.

The Government Finance Officers Association (GFOA), under its certificate program, requires that management provide a narrative introduction, overview, and analysis to accompany the basic financial statements in the form of Management's Discussion and Analysis (MD&A). This letter of transmittal is designed to complement MD&A and should be read in conjunction with it. The Airport System's MD&A can be found prior to the report of the independent auditor.

The County of Sacramento is required to undergo an annual single audit in conformity with the provisions of the Single Audit Act Amendments of 1996 and U.S. Office of Management and Budget Circular A-133, "Audits of States, Local Governments and Nonprofit Organizations." The Airport System, as a department of the County, is included in the scope of the County's audit. Information related to this single audit, including the schedule of expenditures of federal awards, findings and questioned costs, and independent auditor's report on internal controls and tests of compliance with applicable laws, regulations, and contracts and grants is included in the County's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report.

#### PROFILE OF THE GOVERNMENT

The Airport System was created by Sacramento County Code in 1963 as a department within the County of Sacramento. The purpose of the Airport System is to provide for the efficient planning, development and operation of public air transportation facilities in Sacramento County and adjoining areas. In addition to promoting the efficient use and development of air transportation, the Airport System is responsible for assuring residents of Sacramento and the immediate surrounding areas of minimal environmental impact from air navigation and transportation.

The Airport System consists of Sacramento International Airport (International), Executive Airport, Mather Airport, and Franklin Field. International is the principal air carrier airport serving the County of Sacramento and a wide region surrounding the County. International's primary service area is a seven-county region consisting of Sacramento, El Dorado, Placer, San Joaquin, Sutter, Yolo and Yuba counties (the Sacramento Area). Executive Airport is a general aviation reliever airport with no scheduled airline service. Mather Airport serves as an air cargo and general aviation facility. Franklin Field is a general aviation reliever airstrip used primarily for training.

#### **ECONOMIC CONDITIONS**

The nationwide demand for aviation is a function of domestic and foreign population and economic growth, airline industry developments, and airport and airspace capacity. Airline traffic at airports that principally service origin-destination passengers is most responsive to local economic and population growth. As a predominantly origin-destination medium-hub airport, International is dependent upon the regional economy and population for the travelers who produce its revenue base.

In 2008, 2009 and much of 2010 the local, national and world economies experienced a significant recession. The California economy followed national economic trends, with falling home prices, worsening credit availability, shrinking equity values, and growing job losses. The Bureau of Labor Statistics data show the State's unemployment rate was 12.3% in June 2010, compared to the U.S. national average of 9.5% unemployment during the same time period. The State is currently facing significant intrinsic problems, including severe budget shortfall, which is expected to cause the post-recession recovery in the State to lag that of the nation. The recovery will be particularly slow in the Central Valley because it is hard hit by a weak construction market and state budget cuts.

After a sustained period of economic growth, as measured by Gross Regional Product (GRP), that began in the late 1990's and continued into 2007, the Sacramento Area has experienced generally weak economic conditions in recent years. Significant factors in the downturn have been (1) the turmoil in the housing market and (2) job losses.

The economic situation in Sacramento has been affected by the recession to a greater extent than the United States and California overall. Between 2007 and 2009, employment in the Sacramento Area declined more than statewide or national unemployment, returning to the 2002 level. The unemployment rate in the Sacramento Area exceeds State and national rates. The high rate of population growth in the Sacramento Area in the first half of the past decade has slowed. The California Association of Realtors reports that the median sale price of existing homes in the Sacramento Area was \$196,215 in June 2010, which is a 48% reduction from the 2005 peak of \$375,900, resulting in extensive foreclosures.

#### <u>Population</u>

According to the U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, the population of the Sacramento Area was nearly 3.0 million in 2009, concentrated primarily in Sacramento and San Joaquin counties. (See Table 1.) The 11-county secondary service area was home to an additional 1.7 million people.

The Sacramento Area accounted for 8.1% of the State's population and 5.8% of its non-agricultural employment in 2009. The Sacramento Area's per capita personal income in 2008 (\$38,300) was lower than both the State average (\$43,900) and the national average (\$40,200). The pattern of per capita income growth in the Sacramento Area has generally mirrored the pattern of nationwide growth, albeit at a slightly lower level, over the past two decades. The per capita personal income of the Sacramento-Arden-Arcade-Roseville MSA, which accounts for nearly three-quarters of the population of the Sacramento Area, was \$41,100 in 2008—higher than the national average. The more agriculturally oriented San Joaquin County had a lower per capita personal income (\$31,500).

Between 1990 and 2009, the Sacramento Area's population increased at a higher rate than the population of both California and the nation, largely because of a population surge in the Sacramento Area between 2000 and 2005. Between 2005 and 2009, however, population growth in the Sacramento Area slowed and was closer to State and national rates of growth.


## Table 1 POPULATION BY COUNTY Sacramento Area and Secondary Service Area

County	2009 Population	Percent of Sacramento Area	Percent of total
Sacramento Area:			
Sacramento	1,400,949	47.2%	29.7%
San Joaquin	674,860	22.7	14.3
Placer	348,552	11.7	7.4
Yolo	199,407	6.7	4.2
El Dorado	178,447	6.0	3.8
Sutter	92,614	3.1	2.0
Yuba	72,925	2.5	1.5
Subtotal	2,967,754	100.0%	62.9%
Secondary Service Area			
Stanislaus	510,385		10.8%
Solano	407,234		8.6
Butte	220,577		4.7
Shasta	181,099		3.8
Napa	134,650		2.9
Nevada	97,751		2.1
Tehama	61,138		1.3
Calaveras	46,731		1.0
Amador	37,876		0.8
Glenn	28,299		0.6
Colusa	21,321		0.5
Subtotal	1,747,061		37.1%
Total	4,714,815		100.0%

Note: Percentages may not add to total shown because of rounding. Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census website.

#### **Employment**


Figure 1 presents a comparison of growth in nonagricultural employment in the Sacramento Area from 1990 to 2009 with that of California and the nation. During the 1990s, employment in the Sacramento Area increased in line with that of the nation, and in excess of the California total. From 2000 to 2005, employment levels in the Sacramento Area increased at a higher rate than in the United States and California. However, the 2007-2009 economic recession correlated with a larger decline in Sacramento Area employment than experienced in either California or the nation.



<sup>\*</sup>Indicates national recession during all or part of year, according to the National Bureau of Economic Recession. Source: U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics website.

#### **Unemployment Rate**

The unemployment rate in the Sacramento Area generally tracks the statewide pattern, as Figure 2 illustrates, but is typically higher than the national rate. In the first 3 months of 2010, unemployment in the Sacramento Area averaged 14.6%, compared with the most recent low of 5.5% in 2006. In comparison, the unemployment rate in California as a whole was 13.0%, and in the nation was 9.7% in the same period.



#### **Employment by Industry Sector**

With regard to employment distribution, the major nonagricultural employment sectors are shown in Table 2. The Sacramento Area has a higher percentage of public sector (government) employment relative to California and the nation because of its status as the capital of the most populous state in the United States. In terms of private sector employment, the Sacramento Area roughly approximates the California and national economies, aside from a lower concentration in manufacturing employment.

Between 1990 and 2009, employment growth in the Sacramento Area was greater (or declined less) than in both California and the nation in every industry sector except one. Over this period, Sacramento Area employment growth was driven primarily by education and health services, professional and business services, and leisure and hospitality.

## Table 2 AVERAGE ANNUAL NONAGRICULTURAL EMPLOYMENT GROWTH AND EMPLOYMENT SHARE BY INDUSTRY SECTOR

Sacramento Area, California, and United States

Compound	annual	growth	rate

	1990-2009			2009	2009 Percent of to		
Industry	Sacramento Area	California	United States	Sacramento Area	California	United States	
Government	1.3%	1.0%	1.1%	27.2%	17.7%	17.2%	
Trade, Transportation, Utilities	1.2	0.5	0.5	17.8	18.7	19.1	
Education & Health Services	3.2	2.4	3.0	12.5	12.4	14.7	
Professional/ Business Services	3.2	1.6	2.3	11.2	14.6	12.7	
Leisure & Hospitality	2.2	1.6	1.8	9.6	10.6	10.0	
Financial Activities	1.2	(0.2)	0.8	6.0	5.7	5.9	
Manufacturing	(1.0)	(2.2)	(2.1)	5.2	9.1	9.1	
Natural Resources, Mining, & Construction	(0.1)	(0.3)	0.6	5.1	4.6	5.1	
Other Services	1.6	0.8	1.2	3.4	3.4	4.1	
Information	0.8	0.7	0.2	2.0	3.2	2.1	
TOTAL	1.5%	0.6%	0.9%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

 $Source: \ U.S. \ Department \ of \ Labor, \ Bureau \ of \ Labor \ Statistics \ website.$ 

#### Government

State, local, and federal government employment accounted for 27.2% of total non-agricultural employment in the Sacramento Area in 2009, compared with 25.6% in 1999. (See Table 3.) The largest number of government employees in the Sacramento Area work in local government, which represented approximately 14.6% of total employment in 2009. As would be expected of California's capital, State government is also a large employer in the Sacramento Area, with 11.0% of employment in 2009.

## Table 3 GOVERNMENT EMPLOYMENT SHARE OF TOTAL NONAGRICULTURAL EMPLOYMENT

Sacramento Area

	1999	2004	2009
Federal Government	2.3%	1.6%	1.7%
State Government	10.5	9.8	11.0
Education	2.3	2.4	2.5
Other	8.2	7.4	8.4
Local Government	<u>12.8</u>	<u>13.3</u>	<u>14.6</u>
<b>Total Government Share of</b>			
Nonagricultural			
Employment	25.6%	<b>24.8</b> %	27.2%

Note: Columns may not add to totals shown because of rounding. Source: U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics website.

The federal government accounted for 1.7% of total nonagricultural employment in the Sacramento Area in 2009, compared with 2.3% in 1999. The decrease in federal government employment between 1999 and 2004 was largely the result of a reduction in U.S. Department of Defense activities, as McClellan Air Force Base was closed in 2001.

#### **Major Employers**

Table 4 lists major private sector employers in the Sacramento—Arden-Arcade—Roseville MSA, which accounts for 72% of the population of the Sacramento Area. The MSA's top three private employers are in the health care field — Kaiser Permanente, Mercy/Catholic Healthcare West, and Sutter Health.

Many of the companies listed are involved in national and international operations that rely on airline travel.

## Table 4 MAJOR PRIVATE SECTOR EMPLOYERS Sacramento-Arden-Arcade-Roseville MSA

Company	Employment	Type of business
Kaiser Permanente	10,081	Health care
Mercy/ Catholic Healthcare West	8,279	Health care
Sutter Health Sacramento Sierra Region	7,314	Health care
Intel Corp.	6,000	Semiconductor manufacturer
Wells Fargo & Co.	3,690	Financial services
Raley's	3,401	Retail grocery
PRIDE Industries Inc.	2,841	Manufacturing and logistics services
Health Net of California Inc.	2,512	Health care
Cache Creek Casino Resort	2,460	Casino resort
Pacific Gas and Electric Co.	2,169	Natural gas and electric utility
Hewlett-Packard Co.	2,000-3,000	Computer hardware manufacturer
Vision Service Plan Inc., dba VSP Vision Care	1,832	Vision benefits and services
Blue Shield of California	1,705	Health care
EDS, an H-P Company	1,643	System integration, business process consulting
Aerojet	1,587	Aerospace and defense manufacturing
DST Output	1,300	Statement and billing output services
Eskaton	1,054	Retirement and assisted living, senior services
Marshall Medical Center	1,040	Health care
Franklin Templeton Investments	1,000	Financial services
The Sacramento Bee	800	Newspaper

Note: Includes companies with operations in El Dorado, Placer, Sacramento, and Yolo counties.

Source: Sacramento Business Journal, "2009 Book of Lists."

#### Tourism and Local Activities

Visitors are attracted to the Sacramento Area by the State Capitol and other historical attractions, as well as the natural amenities, recreational activities, sporting events, and cultural attractions in the area. According to California Travel & Tourism Commission statistics, direct travel spending in the Sacramento Area totaled \$4.95 billion in 2008, the most recent year for which data are available. Sacramento also serves as a gateway to cultural and recreational attractions that are within a day-trip driving distance, such as the Napa Valley and Amador County vineyards and the Sierra/Yosemite/Lake Tahoe regions.

#### **Business Growth**

The Sacramento Area is one of the most attractive locations in which to do business in the western United States. Few inland metropolitan areas can boast a major airport, an airport specializing primarily in air cargo, a deep-water port, a transcontinental rail line and several interstate freeways. Comparatively inexpensive business costs, plentiful skilled labor, abundant water supply, quality of life and proximity to the San Francisco Bay Area create an outstanding business climate.

#### Air Service

An integral component in a region's economic growth is the availability of accessible, affordable, and convenient air transportation service. International Airport, as the chief point of entry for many of Sacramento Area's business, government, and leisure travelers, as well as some air cargo shipments, is well suited to meet these demands for economic activity.

#### PASSENGER TRAFFIC

Passenger traffic at International is affected by the Sacramento Area's economic profile; for example, the amount and type of commerce in the Sacramento Area may affect the level of business travel to and from Sacramento, or the amount of per capita personal income in the Sacramento Area may affect the level of discretionary travel from International Airport. Approximately 8.9 million total passengers (enplaning and deplaning) chose International during fiscal year 2010, reflecting a 3.5 percent decline over the prior year.

As of June 2010, International Airport was served by 14 scheduled passenger airlines, including 3 low-cost carriers (LCCs) and 1 foreign-flag airline, Mexicana. The scheduled airlines together provided an average of 149 daily scheduled aircraft departures in the month of June 2010. The International Airport is also served by two all-cargo airlines.

The busiest carrier at International is Southwest Airlines (74 daily nonstop flights), followed by United Airlines/United Express (21 daily nonstop flights), Alaska/Horizon Airlines (20 daily nonstop flights) and Delta Air Lines (12 daily nonstop flights). Over 133,000 operations (takeoffs and landings) occurred at International during fiscal year 2010 on parallel runways that can accommodate up to 400,000 operations per year, a decrease of 5.1 percent as compared with fiscal year 2009.

Over two-thirds of the passenger traffic at International is concentrated in the 13-gate Terminal A. Southwest Airlines, serving close to five million passengers, comprises the majority of passenger traffic in Terminal A. Southwest has accounted for approximately half the number of passengers enplaned at International each year since FY 1998; since then, Southwest's growth in enplanements has lagged that of the other airlines serving Sacramento. Other airline tenants in Terminal A include Delta, US Airways, and Hawaiian Airlines.

The 12-gate Terminal B houses Alaska Airlines, American Airlines, Continental Airlines, Horizon Air, JetBlue Airways, Frontier Airlines, Mexicana de Aviación (for ticketing activities), and United Airlines/United Express, the second largest carrier at International (more than 600,000 passengers). The Interim International Arrivals Building (IIAB) provides 1 contact gate and serves Mexicana arrivals and departures. Mexicana de Aviación was the first airline to initiate international service at SMF, beginning in July 2002. As of June 2010, international service at SMF consisted of one daily flight to Guadalajara, Mexico and less-than-daily flights to Los Cabos, Mexico and Morelia, Mexico.

Terminal B, which opened in 1967, is over 40 years old and cannot reasonably or economically be modernized to meet future needs. The Terminal Modernization Program is planned to replace the 12 gates at Terminal B and the IIAB with 19 gates and to modify Terminal A to provide 2 additional gates, for a total of 34 gates.

Historically, airline passenger traffic nationwide has correlated closely with the state of the U.S. economy and levels of real disposable income. The recession that began in December 2007 combined with reduced discretionary income and increased airfares has contributed to reduced air travel demand. Nearly all airlines serving Sacramento were providing fewer seats in FY 2010 than in FY 2009. Reductions in overall seat capacity at International are indicated by flight schedules filed by the airlines with Official Airline Guide.

A forecast through 2016, prepared by Jacobs Consultancy in August 2010, depicts a slight increase of the number of enplaned passengers in FY 2011 (0.7%) and a gradual recovery thereafter to 5.0 million passengers in FY 2016. The pace of the national economy recovery; the degree to which airlines are able to adjust their business models to re-balance demand, capacity, cost, and airfares; and the extent to which consumers alter their travel behavior in response to these factors will determine the actual pace and magnitude of the resumption of traffic growth at International.

#### **MAJOR INITIATIVES**

#### International Master Plan


The County Board of Supervisors approved the Sacramento International Airport Master Plan on August 7, 2007 and certified that the Final Environmental Impact Report required by the California Environmental Quality Act is adequate and complete. The Airport System received a Finding of No Significant Impact-Record of Decision for the National Environmental Protection Act Environmental Assessment for the Terminal Modernization Program (TMP) in April of 2008. The plan prepares International for the future with a three-phased Capital Improvement Program (CIP) that has an anticipated completion date of 2020. Near-term and immediate-term plans include airfield improvements and the construction of a new terminal complex with expected completion in late 2011.

#### **Terminal Modernization Program**

The original Terminal Modernization Program (before it was revised in 2009) had been under consideration by the Airport System since it was recommended in the 2004 International Airport Master Plan, which determined that Terminal A would remain a viable facility, but that Terminal B could not reasonably or cost effectively be modified to meet future demand. In 2009, the Airport System, in response to economic and industry conditions, deleted the proposed in-terminal hotel project and deferred the parking garage project until after FY 2016 to create the TMP, which is depicted in Figure 3. As shown in the figure, a new landside Terminal B is to replace the landside facilities in the existing Terminal B, which was originally constructed in the mid-1960s. Central Terminal B is to be connected to the new 19-gate airside Concourse B via an Automated People Mover (APM). Central Terminal B will be served by a dual level roadway system. The TMP also includes construction of a centralized receiving warehouse, landscaping, and demolition of existing facilities. The figure depicts the location of the deferred parking garage using dashed lines.

The TMP includes the following key elements:

- New crossfield taxiway for east-west aircraft movement.
- New apron paving with dual taxilane access to Concourse B.
- Landside portion of Central Terminal B with approximately 413,000 square feet of space developed on three above-ground levels with a basement, including the facility's central utility plant.
- Airside Concourse B on two levels with 19 narrowbody aircraft gates and approximately 327,000 enclosed square feet of space.
- APM shuttle linking the Central Terminal and airside Concourse B.
- Airline baggage makeup space located in the terminal basement with an inline explosives detection system (EDS) baggage screening and tug/tunnel operation to the aircraft.
- Two-level roadway system providing access to the east and west sides of Central Terminal B.
- Remote surface parking lot with 1,600 parking spaces.
- Remote central receiving warehouse.
- Modifications to Terminal A to accommodate additional airline tenants and two additional aircraft gates.



Upon completion of the TMP, facilities at International will support a design capacity of 12 million annual passengers (enplaning and deplaning) and a stress capacity of 14 million to 16 million annual passengers.

In June 2008, the County awarded design-build contracts for landside Central Terminal B and for the airside Concourse B. Both design-build contracts are subject to a Guaranteed Maximum Price (GMP) provision.

As of May 2010, Central Terminal B was approximately 51% complete, with substantially all contract amounts authorized by SCAS. The airside Concourse B, as of May 2010, was approximately 57% complete, with more than 90% of contract amount authorized. The Airport System determined that fully funding the contingency for the TMP is no longer necessary. Therefore, the contingency allowance was reduced to \$10 million and the cost estimate for the TMP was revised to \$1,037 million in June 2010.

#### Mather Airport Master Plan

The Mather Airport Master Plan began June 2001 and is currently in the environmental review phase. The draft plan includes extension of the north runway and installation of a Category IIIa Instrument Landing System on the south runway to improve aircraft access during very poor weather conditions that are

prevalent during winter months. Other projects are also proposed to accommodate anticipated growth in air cargo and corporate aviation activities. Technical environmental reports have been prepared under the auspices of the National Environmental Protection Act (NEPA) and the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). The NEPA documents are under review with the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA). The CEQA documents are in preparation and will be available for public review in the fall of 2010. Upon completion of these environmental review processes, the Board of Supervisors will take formal action to approve the Mather Airport Master Plan.

#### **Executive Airport and Franklin Field Master Plans**

The master plans for Executive Airport and Franklin Field have been completed in draft form, having integrated all appropriate committee and public comments received during the planning process. The draft plans are under review by the FAA, to be followed by integration of comments, as appropriate. Following this will be Board of Supervisors' approval to proceed to the environmental review phase of the plans. This is anticipated in the first quarter of 2011. A major recommendation of the Executive Airport plan is the relocation of general aviation facilities from the west side of the airport to the east, making available the west side for corporate and compatible non-aviation development and new revenue opportunities. Closure of little-used Runway 16-34 is also proposed, which will reduce the airport's pavement maintenance burden and open for development airport areas previously required for that runway. For Franklin Field, a five-year period of status quo is proposed, during which time no major projects are planned. A design for accommodating future growth is prepared for implementation but is dependent upon future decisions about south county general aviation market needs.

#### Capital Improvement Program

Table 5 presents the project costs and funding for the major components of the CIP, which is summarized by TMP and Non-TMP projects. The CIP represents all of the significant Airport System capital improvements expected to be undertaken through FY 2015. For FY 2016, which is not covered in the CIP period, the Airport System estimates that an additional allowance of \$10 million is sufficient to cover the projected capital expenses from the Capital Improvement Fund.

Table 5 also shows expected sources of funding for the CIP. The Airport System intends to fund the costs of the CIP through a combination of internally generated cash, PFC revenues, AIP grants, State grants, TSA funding, other funding sources, and proceeds from the sale of the Series 2008 Bonds, the Series 2009 Bonds, and future revenue bonds.

The County reassesses its capital needs at least annually and will modify the CIP as necessary to accommodate traffic activity, security needs, and other needs, which could result in additions to or subtractions from the CIP or changes in the timing of certain projects.

## Table 5 PROJECT COST AND SOURCES OF FUNDING FY 2011-2015 CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (a)

Sacramento County Airport System (in thousands)

	Α	AIP		Bonds					
	LOI (b)	Other AIP Grants	ASRB	Prior Bonds	PFC	PFC PAYGO	SCAS	Other (c)	Total
International Airport Terminal Modernization Program									
Airside concourse	\$52,021	\$ -	\$229,180	\$ -	\$7,879	\$42,641	\$36,287	\$7,064	\$375,073
Landside terminal	-	-	158,717	7,884	203,868	77,359	46,200	-	494,027
Special systems	-	-	104,357	-	-	-	-	-	104,357
Early projects	-	-	15,130	-	-	-	-	-	15,130
Ancillary projects			48,635	-					48,635
Terminal Modernization Program	\$52,021	\$ -	\$556,019	\$7,884	\$211,747	\$120,000	\$82,487	\$7,064	\$1,037,222
Non-TMP projects	-	41,356	-	-	-	-	30,567	34,800	106,723
International Airport Total	\$52,021	\$41,356	\$556,019	\$7,884	\$211,747	\$120,000	\$113,054	\$41,864	\$1,143,945
Other airports									
Executive Airport	-	16,984	-	-	-	-	1,559	-	18,543
Franklin Field	-	-	-	-	-	-	100	-	100
Mather Airport	-	66,743	-	-	-	-	4,913	-	71,656
Airport System Total Terminal Modernization	\$52,021	\$125,083	\$556,019	\$7,884	\$211,747	\$120,000	\$119,626	\$41,864	\$1,234,244
Program	52,021	-	556,019	7,884	211,747	120,000	82,487	7,064	1,037,222
Non-TMP projects	-	125,083	-	-	-	-	37,139	34,800	197,022

a) Includes costs for Terminal Modernization Program incurred prior to Fiscal Year 2011.

b) A portion of the \$59.9 million LOI reimbursement is to be used for interest payments.

c) Includes grants from Transportation Security Administration for the TMP, and 3<sup>rd</sup> –party financing for a future fuel system. AIP = Airport Improvement Program; LOI = Letter of Intent; ASRB = Airport System Revenue Bonds; PFC = Passenger Facility

Charge; PAYGO = pay-as-you-go.

#### LONG-TERM FUNDING PLAN

#### Federal and State Grants

The County is eligible to receive AIP grants for up to 80.59% of the costs of eligible projects at International and 90%-95% at Mather and Executive airports. Certain of these grants are to be received as entitlement grants, the annual amount of which is calculated on the basis of the number of enplaned passengers and the amount of landed weight of all-cargo aircraft at International Airport and Mather Airport. Large and medium-hub airports at which a PFC of \$4.00 or \$4.50 is collected (such as International) forego 75% of their AIP entitlement funds. Other discretionary grants are awarded on the basis of the FAA's determination of the priorities for projects at Airport System airports and at other airports nationwide. The FAA issues Letters of Intent (LOIs) for grants based on the FAA's assessment of national priorities. An LOI represents the FAA's intention to obligate funds from future federal budget appropriations.

On March 6, 2009, the FAA approved the Airport System's LOI application to fund \$59.9 million of the eligible costs of the TMP, as presented in Table 6. Additional AIP discretionary grants for certain Non-TMP Projects in the CIP were also assumed in the financing plan, primarily for projects at Mather Airport. In FY 2009, the Airport System received approximately \$9.0 million in LOI grants from the FAA.

In addition, the County estimated the FAA-grant-eligible costs of the Non-TMP Projects at \$125.1 million. Many of the projects eligible for federal grants will only be undertaken if such grant funds are received.

The County has received an Other Transaction Agreement from the Transportation Security Administration (TSA) to help fund certain security-related costs in the TMP. No State grant is expected for the CIP.

Federal Fiscal Year	Entitlement	Discretionary	Total
2009 (a)	\$ 2,103,116	\$ 6,000,000	\$ 8,103,110
2010	2,182,000	7,500,000	9,682,000
2011	2,124,000	7,000,000	9,124,000
2012	2,171,000	6,000,000	8,171,000
2013	2,220,000	6,000,000	8,220,000
2014	2,271,000	6,000,000	8,271,000
2015	2,328,884	6,000,000	8,328,884
Total	\$15,400,000	\$44,500,000	\$59,900,000

#### PFC Revenues

Beginning April 1, 1993, the Airport System was authorized by the FAA to add a \$3.00 Passenger Facility Charge to the price of all tickets purchased for travel out of International. PFCs are imposed on enplaned passengers by airport operators—collected by airlines—for the purpose of generating revenue for airport projects that increase capacity, increase safety, or mitigate noise impact. On October 31, 2001, as a result of the Airport System's request to increase the fee, the FAA authorized the Airport System to increase the \$3.00 fee to \$4.50 per enplaned passenger, but only for certain eligible projects. This increase was approved through February 1, 2003, at which time the rate returned to \$3.00 per enplaned passenger. On June 25, 2003,

the FAA approved the Airport System's request to increase the level to \$4.50 on the remaining eligible projects, effective September 1, 2003.

As shown in Table 7, under approvals received from the FAA, the County is authorized to impose a PFC fee and to use up to \$891.3 million of PFC revenues. These approvals include the County's PFC Application #8, which authorized the County to impose a PFC fee and use \$603.5 million of PFC revenues on a pay-as-you-go and leveraged basis in connection with the TMP. The PFC collection dates for approved PFC applications are estimated to expire in 2028.

Table 7 <b>PFC APPROVALS</b> (in millions)						
Pay-as-you- PFC and Subordinate Bonds						
	go amount	Bond proceeds	Financing costs (a)	<u>Total</u>		
Application #1	\$27.6	\$	\$	\$ 27.6		
Application #2	6.0			6.0		
Application #3						
Application #4		37.7	41.3	79.0		
Application #5		22.8	25.5	48.3		
Application #6		43.6	72.1	115.7		
Application #7	11.1			11.1		
Application #8	<u>110.0</u>	<u>200.0</u>	<u>293.5</u>	<u>603.5</u>		
Total	\$154.7	\$304.1	\$432.4	\$891.3		

<sup>(</sup>a) Includes bond interest, capitalized interest, debt service reserve fund deposits, and other costs of issuance.

During fiscal year 2010, the Airport System received \$19.7 million in PFC receipts, bringing the total PFC receipts and interest received under the approved applications to \$283.1 million. In fiscal year 2010, PFC revenues provided partial funding for the TMP projects and bond debt service payments.

#### Capital Improvement Fund

Amounts accumulated in the Capital Improvement Fund may be used for any lawful purpose including payments for capital improvements of the Airport System. In the current CIP presented earlier in Table 5, it was assumed that amounts in the Capital Improvement Fund would be used to pay \$82.5 million of project costs in the TMP and \$37.1 million of project costs in the non-TMP portion of the CIP.

#### **Airport Bonds**

In May 2008, the County issued \$496.1 million of Airport System senior revenue bonds and \$89.4 million of Airport System subordinate and PFC revenue refunding bonds. The proceeds refunded prior bond series and partially funded the Terminal Modernization Program.

In July 2009, the County issued Series 2009 Bonds as additional Airport System senior and subordinated bonds in the aggregate principal amount of \$480 million to continue the financing of the TMP.

Table 8 presents the final maturity dates and outstanding principal amounts of the Senior Obligations after July 1, 2010 payments.

The 2008 Series A, B and C Bonds were issued as Senior Obligations under the Indenture and the First Supplemental Indenture. The 2009 Series A and B Bonds were issued as Senior Obligations under the Indenture and the Third Supplemental Indenture.

	Original princ	ripal amount		
Outstanding Senior Obligations	Refunding	TMP project costs	Outstanding principal	Final maturity date
Series 2008A	\$111,360,000	\$ 58,215,000	\$160,600,000	July 1, 2041
Series 2008B	35,800,000	278,540,000	309,660,000	July 1, 2039
Series 2008C	12,280,000		6,450,000	July 1, 2012
Series 2009A		31,115,000	31,115,000	July 1, 2041
Series 2009B		170,685,000	170,685,000	July 1, 2039
Total	\$159,440,000	\$538,555,000	\$678,510,000	•

Table 9 presents the final maturity dates and outstanding principal amounts of the Subordinate Obligations after July 1, 2010 payments and final maturity dates. Series 2008D and E Bonds (the 2008 Subordinate Bonds) were issued as Subordinate Obligations under the Indenture and the Second Supplemental Indenture in non-AMT and AMT series, respectively. The 2008 Subordinate Bonds are secured by the Trust Estate and payable from Net Revenues on a subordinate basis to the Senior Obligations.

The Airport System issued Series 2009C and D Bonds (the 2009 Subordinate Bonds) as Subordinate Obligations under the Indenture and the Fourth Supplemental Indenture. The 2009 Subordinate Bonds are secured by the Trust Estate and payable from Net Revenues on parity with the 2008 Subordinate Bonds.

In addition, the 2008 Subordinate Bonds and the 2009 Subordinate Bonds are payable from and secured by Available PFC Revenues through FY 2016 under the Fourth Supplemental Indenture. The 2009 Subordinate Bonds are also payable from and secured by Available Grant Revenues under the Fourth Supplemental Indenture. Principal and interest to be paid from Available PFC Revenues and Available Grant Revenues are excluded from the calculations of Accrued Debt Service and Aggregate Adjusted Annual Debt Service.

Table 9 OUTSTANDING SUBORDINATE OBLIGATIONS						
Original principal amount						
Outstanding		TMP project	Outstanding	Final		
Subordinate Obligations	Refunding	cost	principal	maturity date		
Series 2008D	\$46,390,000	\$	\$ 43,740,000	July 1, 2026		
Series 2008E	43,040,000		37,460,000	July 1, 2024		
Series 2009C		112,860,000	112,860,000	July 1, 2041		
Series 2009D		165,390,000	157,685,000	July 1, 2035		
Total	\$89,430,000	\$278,250,000	\$351,745,000	-		

#### OTHER INITIATIVES

#### Air Quality Improvements

During fiscal year 2010, the Airport System continued its efforts to reduce emissions through the use of vehicles powered by clean fuels at International. In 2001, the FAA announced that it would fund up to \$2 million for the Inherently Low-Emission Airport Vehicle (ILEAV) program, designed to substantially reduce ozone and carbon monoxide levels at International. This program has been replaced by the Voluntary Airport Low Emission Program (VALE). In addition, a compressed natural gas (CNG) refueling station is on-line at International and over 30 CNG buses have been purchased in the last six years.

The ongoing operation of International's consolidated rental car facility reduces roadway congestion and vehicle emissions (before the new rental car facility opened each company provided individual shuttle bus service for its customers to and from the terminal facilities). All of International's jet bridges now feature electric power, eliminating the need for aircraft to utilize polluting auxiliary-powered units.

#### **Executive Airport**

The ongoing focus at Executive Airport will continue to be infrastructure improvements in order to ensure the operational and financial viability of the airport.

#### **Mather Airport**

The primary focus at Mather Airport is air cargo, while also serving general aviation users. Cargo carriers shipped over 86.1 million pounds of freight through Mather in fiscal year 2010. Other tenants at Mather include government agencies, an aviation law firm, a Fixed Base Operator (FBO), a full-service aviation flight school, a rental car agency, a full-service aircraft maintenance facility, one major air cargo company and contracted air cargo feeder aircraft. Compared to International, Mather offers cargo carriers more spacious facilities, including longer runways and more cargo, warehouse and office space. At International, the ramp space utilized by former cargo carriers that have relocated to Mather is providing extra capacity to meet the future demand for passenger air carrier transportation in the Sacramento Area.

#### **Future**

The current economic conditions have adversely impacted the Airport System, resulting in reductions of daily flights being offered by the airlines serving International Airport and significant reductions in emplaned passengers as compared with prior years. During fiscal year 2011, the Airport System will work to continue development and expansion of airline service to existing and new locations. A key goal will also be to maintain the Airport System's commitment to providing excellent service to customers while continuing to be a good neighbor to the surrounding community.

#### FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Management of the Airport System is responsible for establishing internal controls designed to ensure that the assets of the Airport System are protected from loss, theft or misuse and to ensure that adequate accounting data are compiled to allow for the preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. The internal controls are designed to provide reasonable, but not absolute, assurance that these objectives are met. The concept of reasonable assurance recognizes that: (1) the cost of a control should not exceed the benefits likely to be derived; and (2) the valuation of costs and benefits requires estimates and judgments by management.

For financial accounting purposes and in compliance with Governmental Accounting Standards Board Pronouncements, the Airport System is accounted for as a self-sufficient enterprise fund within the County of Sacramento. The Airport System's accounting records are maintained using the accrual basis of accounting.

Single Audit—as a recipient of federal funds and state financial assistance, the Airport System also is responsible for ensuring that adequate internal controls are in place to ensure compliance with applicable laws and regulations related to those programs. These internal controls are subject to periodic evaluation by management and the internal audit staff of the County.

The Airport System was authorized to impose a PFC at International effective April 1, 1993. Legislation authorizing the collection of PFCs restricts use of PFC revenue to acquisition of specified assets and prescribes reporting and control requirements. At least annually during the period in which the PFC is collected, held, or used, the Airport System must provide for an audit of its PFC accounts. The audit must be conducted by an independent certified public accountant. The scope of the audit must include evaluation of the Airport System's internal accounting controls to account for the collection and use of PFCs. The auditor must also issue an opinion on whether the quarterly reports fairly represent the transactions within the PFC accounts.

Budgetary Controls—The Airport System prepares an annual budget to serve as an approved plan for operational control and performance evaluation. State law does not require the formal adoption of an appropriated budget for government enterprise activities. Each year the Airport System prepares an operations budget and a capital budget, which is presented to the County Board of Supervisors (Board) for review and approval. The budget, as approved by the Board, serves as the Airport System's basis for operations. The Airport System and County impose controls that require the use of requisitions, purchase orders, contracts and specific approval for purchases of goods and services. Procedures have been established which verify expenses and ensure budgeted amounts are not exceeded. Monthly comparisons of actual to budgeted revenues and expenses may identify significant variances that would require the Airport System to take corrective action.

Rate Ordinance—the County Board of Supervisors adopted a new Airline Rate Ordinance which became effective July 1, 2008. Under the Rate Ordinance, landing fee rates are calculated annually according to a cost center residual methodology; terminal rental rates are annually calculated according to a commercial compensatory methodology; Revenues, after deposits required by the Bond Master Indenture, are retained by the County; and the County has no obligation to share remaining Revenues with the airlines. Likewise, airlines are under no obligation to pay landing fees in amounts required to ensure that Net Revenues are sufficient to meet the Rate Covenant set forth in the Master Trust Indenture.

Cash Management— Airport System cash and investments are maintained in the County Treasurer's pool, fiscal agent pool and financial institution trust. The County Treasurer is responsible for managing the investment of pooled cash fund resources.

Cash temporarily idle during the year was invested in certificates of deposit, time certificates, money market funds, commercial paper, repurchase agreements, bankers' acceptances, medium corporate notes and U.S. Treasury investments. The average yield on investments during fiscal year 2010 was 0.9978%. The yield for a 1-year U.S. Treasury Note for the same time frame was 0.39%.

The County's investment policy is to minimize credit and market risks while maintaining a competitive yield on its portfolio. Accordingly, bank balances were either insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, for accounts less than \$100,000, or collateralized. During the fiscal year, all collateral on deposits was held either by the County, its agent or a financial institution's trust department in the County's name.

Risk Management—The County maintains all-risk blanket property insurance coverage, including flood, which provides limits of liability of \$2,189 million per occurrence annually. This policy covers real and personal property of the Airport System and contains additional boiler and machinery coverage in the amount of \$100 million per occurrence annually. The Airport System, through the County, maintains an airport operations and hangarkeepers liability insurance policy, which provides limits of liability coverage for up to \$500 million annually. Current premium charges are expensed in the year incurred.

The Airport System participates in the County's self-insurance program for crime, pollution, workers' compensation and unemployment claims. Annual premiums are based primarily upon claims experience. Current premiums are charged to expense in the year incurred.

The new terminal and related construction at International is covered separately by an OCIP (Owner Controlled Insurance Program) with coverage ranging between \$25 million per occurrence for pollution liability and \$205 million general liability, with separate limits for airside and landside.

#### AWARDS AND ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Independent Audit—the financial statements of the Airport System are audited each year by an independent certified public accountant. The accounting firm of Vavrinek, Trine, Day & Co., LLP was selected to perform the fiscal year 2010 audit. The independent auditor's report on the financial statements is included in the financial section of this report.

Awards—The Government Finance Officers Association of the United States and Canada (GFOA) awarded a Certificate of Achievement for Excellence in Financial Reporting to the Sacramento County Airport System for its comprehensive annual financial report for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2009. The Certificate of Achievement is a prestigious national award recognizing conformance with the highest standards for preparation of state and local government financial reports.

In order to be awarded a Certificate of Achievement, a government unit must publish an easily readable and efficiently organized comprehensive annual financial report, whose contents conform to program standards. Such a report must satisfy both generally accepted accounting principles and applicable legal requirements.

A Certificate of Achievement is valid for a period of one year only. The Airport System has received a Certificate of Achievement for each of the last 21 years (fiscal years ended 1989-2009). We believe our current report continues to conform to the Certificate of Achievement Program requirements, and are submitting it to the GFOA.

#### **INTRODUCTORY**

Acknowledgments—the preparation of the Comprehensive Annual Financial Report on a timely and efficient basis was made possible by the dedicated service of staff in the Accounting Section. Each member of the section has our sincere appreciation for the contributions made in the preparation of this report.

We also wish to thank staff of the Sacramento County Department of Finance for their cooperation and assistance. In closing, without the leadership and support of the County Executive and the Board of Supervisors, preparation of this report would not have been possible.

Respectfully submitted,

6. Harly Sure


G. Hardy Acree Director of Airports Lisa Stanton Chief Administrative Officer Amanda Thomas

Deputy Director, Finance & Administration

Intentionally Blank

## Sacramento County Airport System Organizational Chart

As of June 30, 2010



## Sacramento County Airport System

### List of Principal Officials At June 30, 2010

#### ELECTED:

#### COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Roger Dickinson	District 1
Jimmie Yee	District 2
Susan Peters	District 3
Roberta MacGlashan	District 4
Don Nottoli	District 5
APPOINTED:	
Steven C. Szalay	
SACRAMENTO COUNTY AI	RPORT SYSTEM
G. Hardy Acree	Director of Airports
Michael La Pier	Airport Chief Operating Officer
Lisa J. Stanton	Airport Chief Adminstrative Officer
Amanda Thomas	Deputy Director, Finance and Administration
Cheryl Marcell	Deputy Director, Marketing and Public Relations
Steven L. Baird	Deputy Director, Information Technology and Telecommunications
Frederick Greco	Deputy Director, Maintenance
Leonard H. Takayama	Deputy Director, Special Projects
-	Deputy Director, Operations
Carl Mosher	Deputy Director, Planning and Development
Camelia Radulescu, CPA	Senior Accounting Manager

Intentionally Blank

### **Financial Section**

This section contains the following subsections:

Independent Auditors Report

Management's Discussion and Analysis (Unaudited)

**Basic Financial Statements** 



## Vavrinek, Trine, Day & Co., LLP Certified Public Accountants

VALUE THE DIFFERENCE

#### INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

Board of Supervisors County of Sacramento, California

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Sacramento County Airport System (the Airport System), an enterprise fund of the County of Sacramento, as of and for the years ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, as listed in the table of contents. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Airport System's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Airport System's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

As discussed in Note 1, the financial statements present only the Airport System and do not purport to, and do not, present fairly the financial position of the County of Sacramento as of June 30, 2010 and 2009, and the changes in its financial position, or, where applicable, its cash flows for the years then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Airport System as of June 30, 2010 and 2009, and the changes in financial position and cash flows thereof for the years then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America

In accordance with Government Auditing Standards, we have also issued our report dated November 24, 2010, on our consideration of the Airport System's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards and should be considered in assessing the results of our audit.

The management's discussion and analysis on pages 32 through 38, is not a required part of the basic financial statements but is supplementary information required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. We have applied certain limited procedures, which consisted principally of inquires of management, regarding the methods of measurement and presentation of the required supplementary information. However, we did not audit the information and express no opinion on it.

2151 River Plaza Drive, Suite 308 Sacramento, CA 95833 Tel: 916.570.1880 Fax: 916.570.1875 www.vtdcpa.com

FRESNO • LAGUNA HILLS • PALO ALTO • PLEASANTON • RANCHO CUCAMONGA • SACRAMENTO

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the Airport System's financial statements. The introductory, statistical and bond disclosure sections are presented for purposes of additional analysis and are not a required part of the financial statements. Such information has not been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements, and accordingly, we express no opinion on them.

Variack, Trie, Dry; Co, Co

Sacramento, California November 24, 2010

## Management's Discussion and Analysis (Unaudited)

The following Management's Discussion and Analysis (MD&A) of the Airport System's financial performance provides an introduction to the financial statements for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2010 and 2009. The information contained in this MD&A should be considered in conjunction with the information contained in the Airport System's basic financial statements.

## Financial Highlights

The assets of the Airport System exceeded liabilities for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2010 by \$533,667,565 (net assets). Of this amount, \$48,379,211 (unrestricted net assets) may be used to meet the ongoing obligations of the Airport System, \$176,419,052 was restricted for specific purposes (restricted net assets), and \$308,869,302 was invested in capital assets, net of related debt.

The Airport System's total net assets increased by \$31,590,310 during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2010. This increase was primarily due to operating income of \$19,403,102; passenger facility charges of \$19,618,136; interest income of \$1,886,860; capital contributions and intergovernmental revenues of \$8,315,824 and was offset by interest expense of \$17,105,647.

### Overview of the Basic Financial Statements

The Airport System's financial statements are prepared using the full accrual basis of accounting in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. The Airport System is structured as a single enterprise fund with revenues recognized when earned, not when received. Expenses are recognized when incurred, not when they are paid. Capital assets are capitalized and, with the exception of land and construction in progress, are depreciated over their useful lives. See the notes to the basic financial statements for a summary of the Airport System's significant accounting policies.

Following this MD&A are the basic financial statements of the Airport System together with the notes, which are essential to a full understanding of the data contained in the financial statements. The Airport System's basic financial statements are designed to provide readers with a broad overview of the Airport System's finances.

The Statement of Net Assets presents information on all the Airport System's assets and liabilities, with the difference reported as net assets. Over time, increases or decreases in net assets may serve as a useful indicator of the Airport System's financial position.

The Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Assets present information showing how the Airport System's net assets changed during the most recent years. All changes in net assets are reported as soon as the underlying event giving rise to the change occurs, regardless of the timing of related cash flows.

The Statement of Cash Flows relate to the flow of cash and cash equivalents. Consequently, only transactions that affect the Airport System's cash accounts are recorded in this statement. A reconciliation is provided at the bottom of the Statement of Cash Flows to assist in the understanding of the difference between cash flows from operating activities and operating income.

## Financial Analysis

An indicator of the Airport System's financial position is net assets. As shown below and on the next page, at June 30, 2010 assets exceeded liabilities by \$533.7 million, an increase over the prior year of 6.3%. Restricted net assets are \$176.4 million or 33.0% of total net assets. Restricted net assets represent resources that are subject to restrictions on how they can be used. Net assets invested in capital assets net of related debt at June 30, 2010 were \$308.9 million or 57.9% of total net assets and represent investment in capital assets, less the related debt outstanding used to acquire those capital assets. The Airport System uses these capital assets to provide services to its passengers and visitors to the Airport System; consequently these assets are not available for future spending. Although the Airport System's investment in capital assets is reported net of related debt, it is noted that the resources required to repay this debt must be provided from other sources, since the capital assets themselves cannot be used to liquidate these liabilities. The remaining portion of net assets is unrestricted, which was \$48.4 million or 9.1% at June 30, 2010 and may be used to meet the Airport System's ongoing obligations. Unrestricted net assets decreased during fiscal year 2010, by \$71.5 million or 59.6%.

## Condensed Statements of Net Assets

	2010	2009	FY10 vs. FY09 % change	2008	FY09 vs. FY08 % change
Assets:					·
Current, restricted and other assets	\$ 673,383,113	\$ 474,369,800	42.0%	\$ 589,827,740	(19.6)%
Capital assets, net	997,115,161	672,619,220	48.2	476,972,020	41.0
Total assets	1,670,498,274	1,146,989,020	45.6	1,066,799,760	7.5
Liabilities:					
Current and other liabilities	126,326,594	92,476,371	36.6	29,478,700	213.7
Long-term liabilites outstanding	1,010,504,115	552,435,394	82.9	560,607,901	(1.5)
Total liabilities	1,136,830,709	644,911,765	76.3	590,086,601	9.3
Net assets:					
Invested in capital assets, net of related debt	308,869,302	175,059,316	76.4	156,199,147	12.1
Restricted net assets	176,419,052	207,122,260	(14.8)	203,027,028	2.0
Unrestricted net assets	48,379,211	119,895,679	(59.6)	117,486,984	2.1
Total net assets	\$ 533,667,565	\$ 502,077,255	6.3%	\$ 476,713,159	5.3%

The Airport System's net assets increased during fiscal years ended 2010 and 2009 by \$31.6 million (6.3%) and \$25.4 million (5.3%), respectively. The following is a summary of changes in net assets for fiscal years ended 2010, 2009 and 2008:

## Summary of Changes in Net Assets

Fiscal Years Ended June 30

FY09 vs. FY08 FY10 vs. FY09 2010 2009 % change 2008 % change Operating revenues: Concessions 63,442,108 68,600,549 (7.5%)66,416,283 3.3% Building rents 35,885,350 35,384,002 17,152,979 106.3 1.4 Airfield charges 26,044,373 10,710,482 143.2 22,352,752 (52.1)Ground leases 3,641,980 2,749,183 2,314,441 18.8 (36.5)1,100,127 911,360 20.7 Airport services 898,153 (18.4)Sale of fuel 532,032 580,904 (8.4)808,229 (28.1)Other 165,604 299,511 (44.7)101,491 195.1 129,716,803 118,990,016 9.0 111,385,074 6.8 Total operating revenues Non-operating revenue: (69.3)7,519,233 Interest income 1,886,860 6,155,861 (18.1)21,489,873 (8.7)26,653,518 Passenger facility charges revenue 19,618,136 (19.4)Intergovernmental revenue 954,695 978,992 (2.5)1,620,376 (39.6)Gain on disposal of assets 13,721 64,262 (78.6)Other nonoperating revenue 237,958 157,388 51.2 Total revenue 152,428,173 147,836,392 3.1 147,178,201 0.4 Operating expenses: Salaries and benefits 33,084,803 33,640,076 (1.7)32,174,897 4.6 Services and supplies 48,995,957 49,870,807 54,266,378 (8.1)(1.8)Cost of goods sold 431,389 497,815 (13.3)665,627 (25.2)Depreciation 23,707,907 26,928,736 25,750,395 4.6 8.6 Other 872,816 881,876 (1.0)837,710 5.3 110,313,701 111,652,519 Total operating expenses 110,640,969 (0.3)(0.9)Non-operating expenses: Loss on disposal of assets 17,151 (100.0)79,173 40,754 (100.0)Other nonoperating expense

563,240

18,203,544

129,407,753

18,428,639

6,935,457

25,364,096

476,713,159

502,077,255

24.4

(6.0)

(0.9)

31.5

6.1

24.5

5.3

6.3%

700,471

17,105,647

128,198,992

24,229,181

7,361,129

31,590,310

502,077,255

533,667,565

### For fiscal year 2010:

Amortization of bond issuance cost

Net income before capital contributions

Total net assets, beginning of year

Total net assets, end of year

Interest expense

Total expense

and transfers Capital contributions

Changes in net assets

### Operating revenues

Operating revenues increased \$10.7 million (9.0%), due to an increase in airfield charges of \$15.3 million (143.2%), resulting from a net amount due from the airlines of \$2.3 million as a result of the rates and charges settlement compared to a \$10.4 million net credit to the airlines in the prior year, as well as increases in building rent of \$0.5

257,068

12,651,944

124,619,436

22,558,765

13,932,530

36,491,295

440,221,864

476,713,159

119.1

43.9

3.8

(18.3)

(50.2)

(30.5)

8.3


5.3%

million (1.4%) as a result of an increase in the terminal rental rate, and an increase in ground leases of \$0.4 million (18.8%) offset by decreases in concession revenues of \$5.2 million (7.5%), a decrease in airport services of \$0.2 million (18.4%), and a decrease in other operating revenues of \$0.1 million (44.7%).

### Operating expenses

Operating expenses decreased \$0.3 million (0.3%) primarily due to decreases in services and supplies of \$0.8 million (1.8%), due to decreases in insurance annual premiums, building services, temporary services and other professional services. Salaries and benefits decreased \$0.6 million (1.7%) due primarily to certain vacancies not being filled and furloughs for management and unrepresented employees. Depreciation and amortization expense increased \$1.2 million (4.6%) as a result of shortening the previously estimated useful lives for certain assets related to the existing Terminal B due to be demolished in 2012.

The following charts show the sources of operating revenues and the operating expense categories for FY 2010:



### Non-operating revenues

Passenger facility charges decreased \$1.9 million (8.7%) primarily due to a 3.4% decrease in enplaned passengers. Capital contributions increased \$0.4 million (6.1%) from the previous year due to an increase in expenses funded by grants.

### For fiscal year 2009:


#### Operating revenues

Operating revenues increased \$7.6 million (6.8%), due to an increase in concession revenue of \$2.2 million (3.3%), as well as increases in building rent by \$18.2 million (106.3%) resulting from the increase in terminal rental rates, and an increase in airport services of \$0.2 million (20.7%) offset by decreases in airfield charges of \$11.6 million (52.1%), a decrease in ground leases of \$1.3 million (36.5%), and a decrease in sales of fuel of \$0.2 million (28.1%).

#### Operating expenses

Operating expenses decreased \$1 million (0.9%) primarily due to decreases in services and supplies of \$4.4 million (8.1%), and a decrease of \$0.2 million (25.2%) in cost of goods sold offset by an increase in salaries and benefits of \$1.5 million (4.6%) and an increase in depreciation expense of \$2 million (8.6%).

The following charts show the sources of operating revenues and the operating expense categories for FY 2009:



## Non-operating revenues

Passenger facility charges decreased \$5.2 million (19.4%) primarily due to a 13.1% decrease in enplaned passengers. Capital contributions decreased \$7 million (50.2%) from the previous year due to a decrease in expenses funded by grants.

### Capital Assets

The Airport System's investment in capital assets as of June 30, 2010 amounted to \$1,280.9 million before depreciation. This investment in capital assets includes buildings and structures, improvements, runways, taxiways, roads, machinery, equipment and construction in progress. The total increase in the Airport System's investment in capital assets before depreciation for fiscal year 2010 was 37.5% or \$349.6 million, due primarily to the Terminal Modernization Program progressing on schedule with 97% of construction contracts awarded.

Major capital asset events during fiscal year 2010 included the following:

Major projects completed at International Airport were the Cross-field Taxiway, \$6.8 million; the Passenger Remote Parking Lot, \$7.4 million; and the Interim International Arrivals Building Modifications, \$2.2 million. Other major TMP projects currently in close-out status are the Temporary Project Management Office, \$4.5 million, and the Remote Central Receiving Facility, \$7.6 million. At the end of fiscal year 2010, the Central Terminal B was approximately 51% complete, with substantially all contract amounts authorized by the Airport System. The airside Concourse B was approximately 57% complete, with more than 90% of contract amount authorized. Construction in progress at June 30, 2010 was \$607.1 million, which included the Terminal Modernization Program, \$598.4 million; the Economy Lot parking reconstruction, \$1.4 million; the apron, tie-down and hangar pavement at Executive airport, \$1.4 million, and the Environmental Impact Report/Environmental Impact Statement Master Plan at Mather Airport, \$1.5 million.

The Airport System's investment in capital assets as of June 30, 2009 amounted to \$931.2 million before depreciation. This investment in capital assets includes buildings and structures, improvements, runways, taxiways, roads, machinery, equipment and construction in progress. The total increase in the Airport System's investment in capital assets before depreciation for fiscal year 2009 was 31% or \$220.5 million, due primarily to the Terminal Modernization Program breaking ground in the prior year.

Major capital asset events during fiscal year 2009 included the following:

Major projects completed at International Airport were the Remain Overnight Pad, \$12.4 million; the West Electrical Vault Emergency Generator replacement, \$0.9 million; Perimeter Fencing and Intrusion Detection, \$1.6 million; Terminal B1 and B2 Flooring, \$1 million; additional Closed Circuit TV cameras, \$0.5 million; and a new ARFF vehicle, \$0.7 million. At Mather, completed projects included an upgrade and roof replacement of several buildings.

Construction in progress at June 30, 2009 was \$274 million, which included the Terminal Modernization Program, \$265 million; the Economy Lot parking reconstruction, \$1.3 million; the apron, tie-down and hangar pavement at Executive, \$1.3 million, and the McReady Avenue Rehabilitation Phase 1 at Mather Airport, \$3 million.

## Capital Assets

Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	2010		2009		2008
Land	\$ 40,245,470	\$	40,245,470		\$ 40,245,470
Structures and improvements	552,943,786		534,809,391		519,332,400
Leasehold improvements	53,529,501		53,359,904		52,117,131
Equipment	27,063,282		28,754,168		25,794,001
Construction in progress	607,089,505		274,061,939		73,235,823
	\$ 1,280,871,544	\$	931,230,872		\$ 710,724,825

Additional information on the Airport System's capital assets can be found in Note 5 located on page 52 of this report.

### Long-term Debt Administration

As of June 30, 2010, the Airport System had outstanding revenue bonds of \$1,049,995,000, an increase of \$469.3 million as compared to prior year, due primarily to the 2009 Airport System revenue bonds issued by the County on July 28, 2009 to continue the financing of Terminal Modernization Program at International Airport.

As of June 30, 2009, the Airport System had outstanding revenue bonds of \$580,655,000, a decrease of \$4.9 million as compared to the prior year, due to the principal payment on July 1, 2008.

For more detail on the Airport System's long-term debt, see Note 6 on pages 53 through 56 of this report.

## Airline Rates and Charges

The level of annual rates and charges billed to the airlines was as follows:

	2010		2009		FY10 vs. FY09 % change	2008		FY09 vs. FY08 % change	
Landing Fee (Per 1,000 lbs.)									
Signatory	\$	-	\$	-	- %	\$	2.77	(100%)	
Non Signatory		3.92		3.30	18.8		3.29	0.3	
Terminal Rental Rates (Per Sq. Foot)									
Ticket Counter		155.07		140.48	10.4		65.48	114.5	
Office and Enclosed		155.07		140.48	10.4		65.48	114.5	
Unenclosed		12.00		12.00			12.00		
Holdroom		155.07		140.48	10.4		65.48	114.5	
Baggage Makeup/Joint Use		155.07		140.48	10.4		65.48	114.5	
Storage		155.07		140.48	10.4		65.48	114.5	
Loading Bridge Fees (per loading bridge)		63,216.00		81,502.00	(22.4)		52,704.00	54.6	
Preferential Aircraft Parking									
Position Fees (per position)	4	5 71,238.00	\$	107,340.00	(33.6%)	\$	5 17,581.00	510.5%	

Effective May 1, 2008 the County terminated the Scheduled Airline Operating Agreement and Terminal Building Lease. All airlines were charged the non-signatory landing fee rate for the remainder of the fiscal year 2008. Effective July 1, 2008, the County adopted a new airline Rate Ordinance pursuant to which airline rates and charges were calculated for fiscal years 2009 and 2010. Under the Rate Ordinance, landing fees are calculated according to a cost center residual methodology and terminal building rentals are calculated according to a commercial compensatory methodology. The Airport System retains all non-airline revenues, net of expenses and debt service associated with non-airline cost centers.

### Requests for Information

This financial report is designed to provide a general overview of the Airport System's finances. Questions concerning any of the information provided in this report or requests for additional financial information should be addressed to the Finance and Administration Division, Sacramento County Airport System, 6900 Airport Boulevard, Sacramento, California 95837.

## Sacramento County Airport System Statements of Net Assets June 30, 2010 and 2009

ASSETS	2010	2009
Current assets:	ф 07.100 F46	ф. 147 FOR FE1
Cash and investments Restricted cash and investments	\$ 97,100,546	\$ 147,527,551
Deposits with others	91,482,958 16,312,111	55,509,309 5,592,908
Receivables, less allowance for doubtful accounts of	10,312,111	3,372,700
\$193,818 in 2010 and \$167,099 in 2009	8,302,309	11,326,947
Due from other governments	1,302,532	6,123,823
Prepaid expenses	12,918,322	12,877,642
Inventories	218,006	230,753
Total current assets	227,636,784	239,188,933
Noncurrent assets:		
Restricted assets (cash and cash equivalents \$92,498,664		
in 2010 and \$110,307,524 in 2009)	427,777,622	221,047,161
Deferred charges	17,968,707	14,133,706
Capital assets:		
Land	40,245,470	40,245,470
Structures and improvements	552,943,786	534,809,391
Leasehold improvements	53,529,501	53,359,904
Equipment	27,063,282	28,754,168
Construction in progress	607,089,505	274,061,939
Total capital assets	1,280,871,544	931,230,872
Less accumulated depreciation	(283,756,383)	(258,611,652)
Net capital assets Total noncurrent assets	997,115,161	672,619,220
	1,442,861,490	907,800,087
Total assets	1,670,498,274	1,146,989,020
LIABILITIES		
Current liabilities:	22 EE2 716	14 077 257
Warrants payable Accounts payable and accrued expenses	23,553,716 10,600,512	14,977,357 20,937,147
Deferred revenue	689,408	1,052,558
Current liabilities payable from restricted assets	91,482,958	55,509,309
Total current liabilities	126,326,594	92,476,371
Noncurrent liabilities:		
Revenue bonds payable	1,006,795,309	548,920,572
Compensated absences	3,463,923	3,350,707
OPEB liability	169,585	164,115
Other long-term liabilities	75,298	-
Total noncurrent liabilities	1,010,504,115	552,435,394
Total liabilities	1,136,830,709	644,911,765
NET ASSETS		
Invested in capital assets, net of related debt	308,869,302	175,059,316
Restricted:		
Expendable:		
Revenue bond operating reserve	24,028,109	27,260,013
Revenue bond reserve and contingency	2,000,000	2,000,000
Restricted for debt service	102,952,183	72,066,304
Passenger facility charges	45,353,413	103,733,132
Trust account earnings	58,796	62,811
Nonexpendable:		
Trust account funds	2,026,551	2,000,000
Total restricted	176,419,052	207,122,260
Unrestricted	48,379,211	119,895,679
Total net assets	\$ 533,667,565	\$ 502,077,255

## Sacramento County Airport System Statements of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Assets For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2010 and 2009

	2010	2009		
Orang line and				
Operating revenues: Concessions	\$ 63,442,108	\$ 68,600,549		
Building rents	\$ 63,442,108 35,885,350	\$ 68,600,549 35,384,002		
Airfield charges	26,044,373	10,710,482		
Ground leases	2,749,183	2,314,441		
Airport services	898,153	1,100,127		
Sale of fuel	532,032	580,904		
Other	165,604	299,511		
Total operating revenues	129,716,803	118,990,016		
Operating expenses:				
Salaries and benefits	33,084,803	33,640,076		
Services and supplies	48,995,957	49,870,807		
Cost of goods sold	431,389	497,815		
Depreciation	26,928,736	25,750,395		
Other	872,816	881,876		
Total operating expenses	110,313,701	110,640,969		
Operating income	19,403,102	8,349,047		
Nonoperating revenues (expenses):				
Interest income	1,886,860	6,155,861		
Passenger Facility Charges revenue	19,618,136	21,489,873		
Intergovernmental revenue	954,695	978,992		
Gain (loss) on disposal of assets	(65,452)	64,262		
Other nonoperating revenue (expense)	237,958	157,388		
Amortization of bond issuance cost	(700,471)	(563,240)		
Interest expense	(17,105,647)	(18,203,544)		
Net nonoperating revenues	4,826,079	10,079,592		
Income before capital contributions	24,229,181	18,428,639		
Capital contributions	7,361,129	6,935,457		
Change in net assets	31,590,310	25,364,096		
Total net assets, beginning of year	502,077,255	476,713,159		
Total net assets, end of year	\$ 533,667,565	\$ 502,077,255		

# Sacramento County Airport System Statements of Cash Flows For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2010 and 2009

	2010	2009
Cash Flows from Operating Activities:		
Receipts from customers and users	\$ 132,276,931	\$ 117,281,890
Payments to suppliers	(63,088,146)	(40,429,643)
Payments to employees	(32,966,117)	(33,149,213)
Other receipts	237,958	157,388
Net cash provided by operating activities	36,460,626	43,860,422
Cash Flows from Noncapital Financing Activities:		
Intergovernmental revenue	713,386	1,455,370
Net cash provided by noncapital financing activities	713,386	1,455,370
Cash Flows from Capital and Related Financing Activities:		
Proceeds from capital debt	475,242,042	-
Capital contributions	10,601,243	4,800,972
Passenger facility charges	19,719,496	20,508,756
Acquisition and construction of capital assets	(304,597,704)	(197,585,353)
Principal paid on long term debt	(10,710,000)	(4,970,000)
Interest paid on long term debt	(40,040,414)	(17,495,881)
Bond issuance costs paid	(4,535,472)	(11,982)
Proceeds from sale of surplus property	25,241	27,768
Net cash provided by (used for) capital and related financing activities	145,704,432	(194,725,720)
Cash Flows from Investing Activities:		
Interest received	9,258,310	10,912,254
Purchase of Investments	(557,423,112)	(79,875,271)
Sale of Investments	333,024,142	244,443,015
Net cash provided by (used for) investing activities	(215,140,660)	175,479,998
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(32,262,216)	26,070,070
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year	313,344,384	287,274,314
Cash and cash equivalents, end of year	\$ 281,082,168	\$ 313,344,384
Reconciliation of Cash and Cash Equivalents		
to Statements of Net Assets:		
Cash and investments	\$ 97,100,546	\$ 147,527,551
Restricted cash and investments	91,482,958	55,509,309
Restricted assets	427,777,622	221,047,161
Less: non-cash investing, capital and financing activities	(335,278,958)	(110,739,637)
	\$ 281,082,168	\$ 313,344,384

(Continued on page 42)

# Sacramento County Airport System Statements of Cash Flows (continued) For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2010 and 2009

	2010	2009		
Reconciliation of operating income to				
net cash provided by operating activities:	¢ 10.402.102	ф 9.240.04 <del>7</del>		
Operating income	\$ 19,403,102	\$ 8,349,047		
Adjustments to reconcile operating income				
to net cash provided by operating activities:				
Depreciation	26,928,736	25,750,395		
Provision for uncollectable accounts	26,719	(25,214)		
Other nonoperating revenue	237,958	157,388		
Changes in assets and liabilities:				
(Increase) decrease in accounts receivable	2,896,560	(1,122,497)		
(Increase) decrease in deposits with others	349,081	(331,067)		
(Increase) decrease in prepaid expense	(70,913)	393,577		
(Increase) decrease in inventories	12,748	44,026		
Increase (decrease) in accounts payable	(13,481,027)	11,243,977		
Increase (decrease) in warrants payable	326,828	(529,659)		
Increase (decrease) in deferred revenue	(363,150)	(560,414)		
Increase (decrease) in other liabilities	75,298	-		
Increase in compensated absences & OPEB liability	118,686	490,863		
Total adjustments	17,057,524	35,511,375		
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 36,460,626	\$ 43,860,422		

Noncash capital and related financing activities:

During the fiscal years ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, the Airport System retired assets with a net book value of \$90,693 and \$27,588, respectively.

Capital asset purchases included in accounts payable and warrants payable at June 30, 2010 and 2009 were \$72,296,097 and \$46,627,024, respectively.

The noncash portion of the capital contributions at June 30, 2010 and 2009 were \$909,941 and \$4,150,054, respectively. During the fiscal year ended June 30, 2009, the Airport System transferred assets of \$2.9 million to the City of Sacramento and the County Water Agency, according to the agreement approved by the Board of Supervisors with Resolution number 2006-0852. At the end of the fiscal year 2009, \$1.8 million was recorded as due from other governments in the Statement of Net Assets. The City of Sacramento paid the amount owed to the Airport System during fiscal year 2010.

## Note 1 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

### **Reporting Entity**

The Airport System is an enterprise fund of the County of Sacramento. The Airport System is responsible for the operations, maintenance and development of all four of its airports which include Sacramento International Airport, Sacramento Executive Airport, Mather Airport and Franklin Field. The Airport System is accounted for as a single enterprise fund with no financial accountability for any component units.

The five members of the County's Board of Supervisors serve as the governing body that oversees the operation of the Airport System. The Airport System is operated as a self-sufficient enterprise, and is administered by the Director of Airports who reports to the County Executive and the County Board of Supervisors.

### **Basis of Accounting**

The Airport System uses the accounting principles applicable to a similar private business enterprise, where the cost of providing services to the public on a continuing basis is recovered through user fees. The Airport System uses the flow of economic resources measurement focus applied on a full accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recognized when earned and expenses are recognized when incurred, regardless of the timing of related cash flows.

Operating revenues and expenses generally result from providing services and delivering goods in connection with airport operations. The principal operating revenues of the Airport System include charges to customers for landing fees, terminal rents, parking and concession fees. Primary operating expenses include cost of sales and services including employee wages and benefits, purchases of materials and supplies, and depreciation on capital assets. All revenues and expenses not meeting this definition are reported as non-operating revenues and expenses.

When both restricted and unrestricted resources are available for use, it is the Airport System's policy to use restricted resources first, and then unrestricted resources, as they are needed.

Under Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement Number 20, Accounting and Financial Reporting for Proprietary Funds and Other Governmental Entities That Use Proprietary Fund Accounting, the Airport System has elected not to apply Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) statements and interpretations issued after November 30, 1989. On January 29, 2010, GASB issued an exposure draft of a proposed Statement, Codification of Accounting and Financial Reporting Guidance Contained in Pre-November 30, 2009 FASB and AICPA Pronouncements which will supersede GASB Statement No. 20 upon its implementation. The objective of this proposed Statement is to incorporate into the GASB's authoritative literature certain accounting and reporting guidance that is included in the FASB and AICPA pronouncements issued on or before November 30, 1989.

### **Budgetary Process**

The Airport System prepares an annual operating and capital budget, which is approved and adopted by the County Board of Supervisors. The budget serves as an approved plan to facilitate financial control and operational evaluation. California state law does not require formal adoption of appropriated budgets for enterprise funds.

#### **Cash and Investments**

For purposes of the statements of cash flows, the Airport System considers all short-term highly liquid investments, including restricted assets and amounts held in the County's investment pools, to be cash and cash equivalents. Amounts held in the County's investment pools are available on demand; thus, they are considered highly liquid

and cash equivalents for purposes of the statements of cash flows. Restricted Assets as of June 30, 2010 included \$79,993,932 invested in FNMA and FHLMC discount notes with the County pool, \$252,638,031 invested in guaranteed investment contracts held outside the County's investment pool that are not considered cash and cash equivalents, and \$2,646,996 held under various trust accounts.

Restricted Assets as of June 30, 2009 included \$71,454,524 invested with the fiscal agent, \$36,778,469 held outside the County's investment pool that were not considered cash and cash equivalents, and \$2,506,644 held under various trust accounts. Investments in the County pool are reported at their fair value and the guaranteed investment contracts at their amortized cost.

#### **Due From Other Governments**

Federal grant funding for capital projects is accounted for on a reimbursement basis whereby costs are incurred prior to actual cash receipt of the grant. The amounts due from other governments represent claims to federal and state agencies for operating and capital project costs incurred but not reimbursed at year-end.

#### **Inventories**

Inventories consist of ground fuel purchased for resale and automotive parts. Inventories are valued at cost, which approximates market, using the first-in/first-out (FIFO) method. Inventories are recorded as expenses when consumed rather than when purchased.

### **Deferred Charges**

Deferred charges consist of costs incurred for the issuance of the Series 2008 and 2009 Airport System Revenue Bonds. On May 1, 2008, the County issued Series 2008 Airport System Senior Revenue Bonds and Series 2008 Airport System Subordinate and PFC Revenue Refunding Bonds. These Bonds fully refunded all prior outstanding bond series and the deferred charges related to the refunded bonds were written off as part of the refunding transaction. On July 28, 2009, the County issued Series 2009 Airport System Senior Revenue Bonds and Series 2009 Airport System Subordinate and PFC/Grant Revenue Bonds to continue the financing of Terminal Modernization Program at International Airport. Amortization of the bond issuance costs is computed using the straight-line method over the life of the debt. Amortization expense was \$700,471 in fiscal year 2010, and \$563,240 in fiscal year 2009.

### Capital Assets

Capital assets are carried at cost except for capital assets contributed to the Airport System, which are stated at their fair market value on the date contributed. When capital assets are retired or otherwise disposed of, the cost and related depreciation are removed from the accounts and any resulting gain or loss is reflected in non-operating revenues (expenses) for the period. Maintenance and repair costs are expensed as incurred. Significant renewals or betterments are capitalized and depreciated over their estimated useful lives. On July 13, 2010, the County Board of Supervisors approved a revised Asset Capitalization Policy consistent with GASB Statement No. 51 provisions, *Accounting and Financial Reporting for Intangible Assets*. The policy has been updated to redefine asset minimum capitalization threshold values and to include recognition of intangible assets according to the GASB Statement No. 51 requirements. The revised policy was implemented retroactively, on July 1, 2009.

The Airport System's revised policy is to capitalize equipment with a cost greater than \$5,000 and a useful life of more than one year, building and structure improvements with a value greater than \$25,000, computer and website software with a value greater than \$100,000, other intangible assets with a value greater than \$25,000, and all land and permanent easements, regardless of cost.

Depreciation and amortization of capital assets is computed under the straight-line method over the following estimated useful lives:

Buildings	10 – 55 years
Structures and improvements	10 – 40 years
Leasehold improvements	5 – 15 years
Equipment	3 – 15 years

Costs incurred for major improvements are carried in construction in progress until the project is complete, at which time costs related to the project are reclassified in the appropriate capital asset account. Construction in progress includes new construction or improvements to land, buildings, structures or intangible assets that have not been completed or have not had all project costs processed by the fiscal year-end. Construction in progress at June 30, 2010 and 2009 was \$607.1 million and \$274.1 million, respectively.

## **Capitalization of Interest**

Interest costs relating to the acquisition or construction of capital assets are capitalized as a component of the cost of capital assets. In situations where capital assets are financed with the proceeds of tax-exempt debt, the amount of interest to be capitalized is calculated by offsetting interest expense incurred from the date of the borrowing until completion of the project, with interest earned on invested proceeds over the same period. Total capitalized interest relating to projects completed or in progress during the fiscal years ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 was \$30,519,989 and \$11,211,932, respectively.

#### **Long-Term Debt Refunding**

The Airport System periodically refunds its debt. The difference between the reacquisition price and the net carrying amount of the old debt is deferred and amortized as a component of interest expense over the life of the old or new debt, whichever is shorter. The amount deferred is reported as a deduction from the new debt liability.

#### Compensated Absences

Airport System employees accrue vacation in varying amounts based on job classification and length of service. Additionally, certain employees are allowed compensated time off in lieu of overtime compensation and/or working on holidays.

Sick leave is earned by regular, full-time employees. Any sick leave hours not used during the period are carried forward to following years, with no limit to the number of hours that can be accumulated. Any sick leave hours unused at the time of an employee's retirement are added to the actual period of service when computing retirement benefits. Upon retirement, management employees have the option of receiving payment for one half of accrued sick leave with the balance included in the calculation of retirement benefits.

It is the policy of the County not to pay accumulated sick leave to employees who terminate their employment prior to retirement. The liability for compensated absences earned through year-end, but not yet taken, is accrued in the accompanying financial statements. Compensated Absences liability activity for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2010 and June 30, 2009 can be found in Note 6, included in long term liabilities.

### Passenger Facility Charge Revenue

Passenger Facility Charges (PFCs) are fees charged to enplaned passengers by airport operators for the purpose of generating revenue for airport projects that increase capacity, increase safety, enhance airline competition or mitigate noise impact. On April 1, 1993, the Airport System received approval from the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) to impose a \$3.00 Passenger Facility Charge at Sacramento International Airport, which along with subsequent approvals, authorized the Airport System to finance projects totaling approximately \$891.3 million.

On October 31, 2001, as a result of the Airport System's request to increase the fee, the FAA authorized the Airport System to increase the \$3.00 fee to \$4.50 per enplaned passenger but only for certain eligible projects. This increase was approved through February 1, 2003 at which time the rate returned to \$3.00 per enplaned passenger. On June 25, 2003, the FAA approved the Airport System's request to increase the level to \$4.50 on the remaining eligible projects, effective September 1, 2003. As of June 30, 2010 the Airport System has cumulatively collected \$283.1 million in PFC revenue. PFCs, along with related interest income, are recognized and recorded as non-operating revenue in the year collected from the air carriers.

#### **Grant Revenue**

Federal and state governments reimburse the Airport System for costs incurred on certain capital asset construction projects under capital grant agreements. Amounts claimed under such grants are recorded as capital contributions revenue. Additionally, the Airport System receives reimbursement from federal and state governments for non-capital construction projects and these reimbursements are recorded as intergovernmental revenues with the related program costs recorded as expenses.

#### Risk Management

The County maintains all-risk blanket property insurance coverage, including flood, earthquake and sabotage and terrorism which provides limits of \$2,189 million per occurrence annually. This policy covers real and personal property of the Airport System and contains additional boiler and machinery coverage in the amount of \$100 million per accident; \$100 million course of construction, new or existing sites; and \$20 million asbestos removal, limited coverage. The Airport System, through the County, maintains an airport operations and hangarkeepers liability insurance policy which provides limits of liability coverage for up to \$500 million per occurrence. Current premium charges are expensed in the year incurred.

In addition to the above property and airport liability insurance policies, the Airport System participates in the County's self-insurance program for crime, pollution, and workers' compensation. Annual premiums are based primarily upon claims experience. Current premiums are charged to expense when paid.

The new terminal and related construction at Sacramento International is covered separately by an OCIP (Owner Controlled Insurance Program) with coverage ranging between \$25 million per occurrence for pollution liability and \$205 million for general liability, with separate limits for airside and landside.

During the past three fiscal years, there were no instances of settlements which exceeded insurance coverage and no significant reductions in insurance coverage.

### **Management Estimates**

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management, where necessary, to make estimates and assumptions that affect certain reported amounts and disclosures. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

## Note 2 - Cash and Investments

Cash and Investments as of June 30 are classified in the accompanying financial statements as follows:

Statement of Net Assets:	2010		2009
Cash and Investments	\$	97,100,546	\$ 147,527,551
Restricted Cash and Investments - Current		91,482,958	55,509,309
Restricted Cash and Investments - Noncurrent		427,777,622	221,047,161
Total Cash and Investments	\$	616,361,126	\$ 424,084,021
Cash and investments as of June 30, consist of the following:  Deposits with the County's Treasury Pool  Specific directed investments with County Treasury  Investments held by trustee		240,817,536 79,993,932 295,549,658	\$ 290,563,910 71,454,524 62,065,587
Total Cash and Investments	\$	616,361,126	\$ 424,084,021

The Airport System maintains specific cash deposits and investments with the County and involuntarily participates in the County Treasurer's pool which is not rated. The Airport System's risk disclosures for the cash held by the County Treasurer's pool required by GASB 40, *Deposit and Investment Risk Disclosures*, can be found in the County's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report.

### **Investments and GASB 40 Presentation**

Investments are restricted per Government Code Sections 53601 et. seq.; 53635 et seq.; and 16429.1, and the Bond Master Indenture of Trust dated May 1, 2008 and subsequent supplemental indentures (collectively, the Bond Indenture). The County Treasurer also manages the Fiscal Agent Pool to segregate and invest monies in accordance with the Bond Indenture, as well as California Government Code and the County's own investment policy. Details about the investments allowed per the Government Code and the County's investment policy are included in the County's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report. The Bond Indenture follows the County's investment policy with respect to permitted investments. The Airport System was in full compliance with the above cited Government Code sections and the Bond Indenture.

Interest Rate Risk – This is the risk that changes in market interest rates will adversely affect the fair value of an investment. In general, the longer the maturity of an investment, the greater the sensitivity to changes in interest rates is. One of the ways that the County manages its exposure to interest rate risk is by purchasing a combination of shorter term and longer term investments. The County's policy regarding interest rate risk is as follows: the ultimate maximum maturity of any investment shall be five (5) years and the dollar-weighted average maturity of all securities shall be equal to or less than three (3) years. The table on the following page shows the distribution of the Airport System's investments by maturity.

				Jur	ne 30, 2010					
				1	Maturity					
	Credit	Under 30	31-180		180-365	1-5	Ov	er 5	_	
	Rating	<u>Days</u>	<u>Days</u>		<u>Days</u>	<u>Years</u>	Ye	ars		<u>Fair Value</u>
Cash in the Treasury Pool	NR								\$	240,817,536
FNMA Discount Notes	P-1/A-1+				14,998,554					14,998,554
FHLMC Discount Notes	P-1/A-1+		64,995,378							64,995,378
Mutual Funds	AAAm	42,911,627								42,911,627
Guaranteed investment										
contracts	NR					252,638,031				252,638,031
		\$ 42,911,627	\$ 64,995,378	\$	14,998,554	\$ 252,638,031	\$	-	\$	616,361,126
			·		·					
				Jur	ne 30, 2009					
				1	Maturity					
	Credit	Under 30	31-180		180-365	1-5	Ov	er 5		
	Rating	<u>Days</u>	<u>Days</u>		<u>Days</u>	<u>Years</u>	Ye	ars		<u>Fair Value</u>
Cash in the Treasury Pool	NR								\$	290,563,910
Mutual Funds	AAAm	25,287,118								25,287,118
Guaranteed investment										
contracts	NR		69,924,349		1,530,174	36,778,470				108,232,993
		\$ 25,287,118	\$ 69,924,349	\$	1,530,174	\$ 36,778,470	\$	-	\$	424,084,021

Credit Risk – This is the risk that the Airport System will lose money because of the default of the security of the issuer or investment counterparty. The Airport System is permitted to hold investments of issuers with a short term rating of superior capacity and a minimum long term rating of upper medium grade by the top three nationally recognized statistical rating organizations (rating agencies). The issuer's short-term credit ratings, shall be at or above A-1 by Standard & Poor's, P-1 by Moody's, and, if available, F1 by Fitch. The issuer's long-term credit ratings shall be at or above A by Standard & Poor's, A2 by Moody's, and, if available, A by Fitch. In addition, the Airport System is permitted to invest in the State's Local Agency Investment Fund collateralized certificates of deposits and notes issued by the County of Sacramento that are not rated. The list of permitted investments with the minimum legal rating is presented on the next page.

Investment Type	Minimum Legal Rating
Treasury Strips	Aaa/AAA
Federal Farm Credit Bonds	Aaa/AAA
Federal Home Loan Banks	Aaa/AAA
Federal National Mortgage Association	Aaa/AAA
Government Agency Notes	Aaa/AAA
State and Local Governments (SLG's)	Aaa/AAA
FNMA Discount Notes	P-1/A-1+
FFCB Discount Notes	P-1/A-1+
FHLB Discount Notes	P-1/A-1+
FHLMC Discount Notes	P-1/A-1+
Commercial paper	P-1/A-1+
Municipal Bond	Aa2/AA+
Negotiable certificates of deposits	P-1/A-1+
Other assets held by Treasurer (primarily Teeter Plan notes)	NR
Local Agency Investment Fund	NR
Mutual funds	AAAm
Guaranteed investment contracts	NR

Custodial Credit Risk – This is the risk that in the event a financial institution or counterparty fails, the Airport System would not be able to recover the value of its deposits and investments. As of June 30, 2010 and 2009, 100% of the Airport System's investments are held in the Airport System's or County of Sacramento's name. There are no general policies relating to custodial credit risk.

Concentration of Credit Risk – This is the risk of loss attributed to the magnitude of a government's investment in a single issuer. The County Investment Policy requires that no more than 80% of the portfolio may be invested in issues other than United States Treasuries and Government Agencies. In addition, no more than 10% of the portfolio, except Treasuries and Agencies, may be invested in securities of a single issuer including its related entities.

As of June 30, 2010, approximately 7% of the Airport System's portfolio is invested in money market funds, 13% in short-term discount notes and 41% in guaranteed investment contracts. The guaranteed investment contracts, totaling \$252.6 million are invested with FSA, \$22.3 million, and Trinity, \$230.3 million. As of June 30, 2009, 6% of the portfolio was invested in money market funds and 25.5% in guaranteed investment contracts.

## Note 3 - Receivables and Payables

## Receivables

The following amounts represent receivables due to the Airport System at June 30, 2010 and 2009:

	2010		2009
Receivables:			
Account receivables - trade	\$	5,576,116	\$ 8,179,434
Account receivables - passenger facility charges		2,845,654	2,947,013
Amounts due from other County funds		74,357	367,599
Gross receivables		8,496,127	11,494,046
Less allowance for doubtful accounts		(193,818)	(167,099)
Net receivables	\$	8,302,309	\$ 11,326,947

## **Payables**

The following represents payables owed by the Airport System at June 30, 2010 and 2009:

	2010		2009	
Accounts payable and accrued expenses:				
Due to Airlines	\$	218,154	\$ 10,163,641	
Vendors		6,194,904	5,652,172	
Due to other County funds		2,326,998	3,702,867	
Deposits from others		592,628	432,922	
Payroll taxes and payroll related		1,183,486	943,262	
Accrued contingencies		81,189	31,042	
Due to other governments		-	516	
Taxes payable other		3,153	10,726	
Total payables - unrestricted		10,600,512	20,937,147	
Payables from restricted assets:				
Bonds interest payable		27,465,286	14,550,386	
Bonds payable - current		19,740,000	10,710,000	
Vendors		43,799,223	29,773,999	
Payroll taxes and payroll related		4,200	-	
Due to other County funds	416,425		474,792	
Due to other governments		57,824	132	
Total payables from				
restricted assets		91,482,958	 55,509,309	
Total accounts payable and accrued expenses	\$	102,083,470	\$ 76,446,457	

## Note 4 - Restricted Assets

Restricted assets consist of the following:

, and the second	Jur	ne 30
	2010	2009
Revenue Bond Operating Reserve Account reflects revenues equal to three months' operating expenses restricted for contingencies related to operations. This is cash held by the County Treasurer's pool.	\$ 24,028,109	\$ 27,260,013
Revenue Bond Reserve and Contingency Account reflects Airport System revenues which are restricted for unanticipated or emergency repairs and emergency replacements to the Airport System. This is cash held by the County Treasurer's pool.	2,000,000	2,000,000
Revenue Bond Construction Account reflects monies restricted for acquisition and construction of projects under the Series 2008 and 2009 A & B Senior Revenue Bonds and Series 2009 C and D Airport System Subordinate and PFC/Grant Revenue Bonds. This is cash held by the County as part of the County's Treasurer's Pool and the trustee outside the County of Sacramento.	324,116,757	71,697,527
Revenue Bond Capitalized Interest Account reflects monies restricted for payment of bond interest expense on the Series 2008 and 2009 A & B Senior Revenue Bonds. This is cash held by a trustee outside the County of Sacramento.	43,534,484	36,901,852
Revenue Bond Debt Service Reserve Account reflects monies restricted for the purpose of making up any deficiency in the Revenue Bond Debt Service Account to the extent money on deposit in such funds is insufficient to pay interest and principal on the bonds as they become due and payable. This is cash held by a trustee outside the County of Sacramento.	31,362,378	-
Revenue Bond Debt Service Account reflects monies restricted for the purpose of payment of principal and interest of the Series 2008 and 2009 Senior Revenue Bonds and 2008 and 2009 Subordinate and PFC/LOI Revenue Bonds. This is cash held by the County as part of the County's Treasurer's Pool and by the trustee outside the County of Sacramento.	42,335,583	25,537,593
Passenger Facility Charges reflect PFC revenues received which are restricted for specified asset acquisitions. This is cash held by the County Treasurer's pool.	49,797,922	111,123,225
Trust Accounts - Nonexpendable. This is cash held by the County Treasurer's pool in perpetuity to fund the Art in Public Places Program at International Airport and other legal requirements.	2,026,551	2,000,000
Trust Account - Earnings held in County Treasurer's pool.	58,796	36,260
Total restricted assets	519,260,580	276,556,470
Less: Restricted current assets reserved to repay current liabilities	(91,482,958)	(55,509,309)
Non-current restricted assets	\$ 427,777,622	\$ 221,047,161

## Note 5 - Capital Assets

Capital asset activity for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2010 and June 30, 2009 was as follows:

	Balance July 1, 2009 Increase		Decrease	Balance June 30, 2010
Capital assets not being depreciated				
Land	\$ 40,245,470	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 40,245,470
Construction in progress	274,061,939	350,974,978	(17,947,412)	607,089,505
Total capital assets, not being depreciated	314,307,409	350,974,978	(17,947,412)	647,334,975
Capital assets being depreciated				
Structures and improvements	534,809,391	18,349,901	(215,506)	552,943,786
Leasehold improvements	53,359,904	173,882	(4,285)	53,529,501
Equipment	28,754,168	179,175	(1,870,061)	27,063,282
Total capital assets, being depreciated	616,923,463	18,702,958	(2,089,852)	633,536,569
Less accumulated depreciation for:				
Structures and Improvements	(218,720,811)	(22,667,091)	4,320	(241,383,582)
Leasehold improvements	(21,946,655)	(2,374,947)	318	(24,321,284)
Equipment	(17,944,186)	(1,886,698)	1,779,367	(18,051,517)
Total accumulated depreciation	(258,611,652)	(26,928,736)	1,784,005	(283,756,383)
Total capital assets, being depreciated, net	358,311,811	(8,225,778)	(305,847)	349,780,186
Net capital assets	\$ 672,619,220	\$ 342,749,200	\$ (18,253,259)	\$ 997,115,161

	Balance July 1, 2008	Increase	Decrease	Balance June 30, 2009	
Capital assets not being depreciated					
Land	\$ 40,245,470	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 40,245,470	
Construction in progress	73,235,823	218,585,824	(17,759,708)	274,061,939	
Total capital assets, not being depreciated	113,481,293	218,585,824	(17,759,708)	314,307,409	
Capital assets being depreciated					
Structures and improvements	519,332,400	18,917,224	(3,440,233)	534,809,391	
Leasehold improvements	52,117,131	1,242,773	-	53,359,904	
Equipment	25,794,001	3,710,144	(749,977)	28,754,168	
Total capital assets, being depreciated	597,243,532	23,870,141	(4,190,210)	616,923,463	
Less accumulated depreciation for:					
Structures and Improvements	(197,828,962)	(21,061,635)	169,786	(218,720,811)	
Leasehold improvements	(19,546,342)	(2,400,313)	-	(21,946,655)	
Equipment	(16,377,501)	(2,289,074)	722,389	(17,944,186)	
Total accumulated depreciation	(233,752,805)	(25,751,022)	892,175	(258,611,652)	
Total capital assets, being depreciated, net	363,490,727	(1,880,881)	(3,298,035)	358,311,811	
Net capital assets	\$ 476,972,020	\$ 216,704,943	\$ (21,057,743)	\$ 672,619,220	

## Note 6 – Long Term Liabilities

	June 30
Revenue Bonds:	2010 2009
2008 series A, 4.85% to 5.0% due 2028-2041	\$ 163,695,000 \$ 166,675,000
Less unamortized premium and deferred amount on refunding	(8,372,168) (9,114,293)
	155,322,832 157,560,707
2008 series B, 4.25% to 5.75%, due 2013-2039	\$ 311,730,000 \$ 313,760,000
Less unamortized discount and deferred amount on refunding	(5,494,804) (5,789,538)
	306,235,196 307,970,462
2008 series C, 5.2% due 2012	\$ 9,440,000 \$ 12,280,000
Less deferred amount on refunding	(877,910) (1,316,865)
	8,562,090 10,963,135
2009 series A, 5.50% due 2041	\$ 31,115,000 \$ -
Less unamortized discount	(929,005) -
	30,185,995 -
2009 series B, 5.50% to 5.75%, due 2034-2039	\$ 170,685,000 \$ -
Less unamortized discount	(165,460) -
	170,519,540 -
PFC and Subordinated Revenue Bonds:	
2008 series D, 5% due 2026	\$ 45,155,000 \$ 45,595,000
Less unamortized premium and deferred amount on refunding	(1,975,338) (2,490,465)
	43,179,662 43,104,535
2008 series E, 4.25% to 5.75 % due 2013-2024	\$ 39,925,000 \$ 42,345,000
Less unamortized premium and deferred amount on refunding	(2,072,539) (2,313,266)
	37,852,461 40,031,734
2009 series C, 5.75% to 6.0% due 2039-2041	\$ 112,860,000 \$ -
Less unamortized discount	(3,193,648)
	109,666,352 -
2009 series D, 6% due 2035	\$ 165,390,000 \$ -
Less unamortized discount	(378,820)
	165,011,180 -
Total revenue bonds payable	\$ 1,026,535,309 \$ 559,630,572
1 7	

On May 1, 2008, the County issued \$496,195,000 of Airport System Senior Revenue Bonds, Series 2008 A, B and C, and \$89,430,000 of Airport System Subordinate and PFC Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2008 D and E.

Series 2008A fully refunded Series 1992B Bonds, Series 1998A Bonds and advance refunded Series 2002A Bonds. Series 2008A also provided \$56.5 million to finance a portion of the costs of Terminal Modernization Program at Sacramento International Airport. Series 2008B refunded 45.4% of the Series 2006A Bonds and provided \$266.5 million to finance a portion of the costs of Terminal Modernization Program at Sacramento International Airport. Series 2008C advance refunded Series 2002B Bonds. Series 2008D fully refunded Series 1998B Bonds. Series 2008E refunded 54.6% of the Series 2006A Bonds.

The reacquisition price exceeded the net carrying amount of the old debt by \$15,225,318. This amount was being netted against the new debt and amortized over the remaining life of the refunded debt, which was shorter than

the life of the new debt issued. This current and advance refunding was undertaken in part to adopt a new Bond Indenture that was approved by the County of Sacramento Board of Supervisors. This refunding and advance refunding reduced the total debt service payments over the next 25 years by \$38,284,802 and resulted in an economic gain of \$24,908,154. The Series A, B and C Bonds are payable from, and secured by, future Net Revenues of the Airport System. Series 2008 D and E Bonds are payable from, and secured by, a pledge of the net proceeds of the PFC imposed by the Airport System. The bonds are additionally payable from, and secured by, the Net Revenues of the Airport System subordinate and junior to the lien of the Series 2008 A, B and C bonds, and any additional parity revenue bonds.

On July 28, 2009, the Airport System issued additional bonds in the amount of \$480,050,000 to continue the financing of the Terminal Modernization Program as follows:

Airport System Senior Revenue Bonds	Airport System Subordinate and PFC/Grant Revenue Bonds
Series 2009 A \$ 31,115,000	Series 2009C \$112,860,000
Series 2009 B \$ 170,685,000	Series 2009D \$165,390,000

The Airport System issued the Series 2009 Senior Bonds as Senior Obligations pursuant to the Master Indenture approved on May 1, 2008 and the Third Supplemental Indenture, approved on July 1, 2009. The Series 2009 Senior Bonds are secured by the Trust Estate and payable from Net Revenues on parity with the Series 2008 Senior Bonds.

The Airport System issued the Series 2009 Subordinate Bonds as Subordinate Obligations under the Master Indenture and the Fourth Supplemental Indenture approved on July 1, 2009. The Series 2009 Subordinate Bonds are secured by the Trust Estate and payable from Net Revenues on parity with the 2008 Subordinate Bonds. Principal of and interest on the 2009C Bonds and 2009D Bonds are additionally payable from and secured by Available PFC Revenues which consist of a portion of the Passenger Facility Charges approved by the Federal Aviation Administration and imposed and collected with respect to International Airport, and by Available Grant Revenues which consist of a portion of the Letter of Intent grant awards approved by the FAA on March 6, 2009.

The bonds are issued under the terms of supplemental indentures adopted by the Board and are subject to call and redemption at the option of the Airport System prior to their respective maturity dates. The bonds are redeemable based on the following terms and the redemption may occur from any source.

<u>Series</u>	Maturing After:	Prices Decreasing From:
2008A	July 1, 2019	100% in FY2019 at 100% and thereafter
2008B	July 1, 2019	100% in FY2019 at 100% and thereafter
2008C	July 1, 2012	not subject to optional redemption
2008D	July 1, 2019	100% in FY2019 at 100% and thereafter
2008E	July 1, 2019	100% in FY2019 at 100% and thereafter
2009A	July 1, 2019	100% in FY2019 at 100% and thereafter
2009B	July 1, 2019	100% in FY2019 at 100% and thereafter
2009C	July 1, 2012	100% in FY2019 at 100% and thereafter
2009D	July 1, 2019	100% in FY2019 at 100% and thereafter

Certain revenue bond obligations have been defeased "in-substance" by placement of assets in an irrevocable trust. Their outstanding principal balances at June 30, 2010 are as follows:

Bond Issue:

 Series 2002A Revenue Bonds
 \$65,320,000

 Series 2002B Revenue Bonds
 11,480,000

 TOTAL
 \$76,800,000

Future debt service requirements at June 30, 2010 are as follows:

Year Ending June 30	Principal	Interest	Total
2011	\$ 19,740,000	\$ 54,539,564	\$ 74,279,564
2012	20,260,000	53,715,072	73,975,072
2013	21,770,000	52,822,541	74,592,541
2014	22,470,000	51,869,003	74,339,003
2015	20,940,000	50,905,830	71,845,830
2016-2020	100,295,000	240,074,195	340,369,195
2021-2025	117,190,000	214,233,199	331,423,199
2026-2030	150,680,000	179,712,788	330,392,788
2031-2035	196,265,000	132,739,815	329,004,815
2036-2040	256,780,000	70,526,188	327,306,188
2041-2045	123,605,000	6,797,525	130,402,525
Total	\$ 1,049,995,000	\$ 1,107,935,719	\$ 2,157,930,719

The Bond Indenture constitutes a contract between the County and the bondholders, under which the County has irrevocably pledged the Net Revenues of the Airport System for payment of the revenue bonds. Net Revenues, as defined by the Bond Indenture, are Revenues less Operating Expenses. Certain expenses are specifically excluded from the calculation. The County has covenanted that it will establish rates and charges for the use of Airport System services and facilities which yield Net Revenues equal to at least 1.25 times the senior debt service to become due on the bonds for each fiscal year.

The subordinate lien bonds are additionally secured by PFC revenues that have been irrevocably pledged through 2016 as well as FAA letter of intent (LOI) grant funds through 2015. Pursuant to the fourth supplemental indenture the Airport System has pledged PFCs and grant funds in the amounts identified in Note 7 – Pledged Revenues on pages 56 and 57 as Available Revenues for the payment of debt service on Series 2008D, 2008E, 2009C and 2009D bonds.

The interest paid on most debt issued by state and local governments is exempt from federal income tax. As a result, purchasers of state and municipal debt are willing to accept lower interest rates than they would on taxable debt. State and local governments sometimes temporarily reinvest the proceeds of such debt in higher-yielding taxable securities. The federal tax code refers to this practice as arbitrage. In general, with a few exceptions, any excess earnings resulting from arbitrage must be rebated to the federal government. As of June 30 of fiscal year 2010, the arbitrage liability of the Airport System was zero.

The Bond Indenture also requires that certain funds be established and certain accounting procedures be followed. For fiscal years 2010 and 2009, the Airport System was in compliance with these covenants.

Long-term liability activity for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2010 and June 30, 2009, was as follows:

	Balance June 30, 2009	Additions	Reductions	Balance June 30, 2010	Due Within One Year
Bonds payable:					
Revenue bonds	\$ 492,715,000	\$ 201,800,000	\$ 7,850,000	\$ 686,665,000	\$ 8,155,000
PFC and Subordinated Revenue Bonds	87,940,000	278,250,000	2,860,000	363,330,000	11,585,000
Deferred amounts:					
For issuance premiums	3,912,447	-	175,813	3,736,634	-
For issuance discounts	(2,789,712)	(4,807,958)	(234,017)	(7,363,653)	-
On refunding	(22,147,163)	-	(2,314,491)	(19,832,672)	-
Total bonds payable	559,630,572	475,242,042	8,337,305	1,026,535,309	19,740,000
Compensated Absences	3,441,237	2,654,168	2,455,464	3,639,941	176,018
OPEB Liability	164,115	5,470	-	169,585	-
Other long-term liabilities		156,487		156,487	81,189
Total long term liabilities	\$ 563,235,924	\$ 478,058,167	\$ 10,792,769	\$ 1,030,501,322	\$ 19,997,207

	Balance July 1, 2008		Additions F		Additions Reductions		Reductions		Balance une 30, 2009	_	ue Within One Year
Bonds payable:					<del></del>		_				
Revenue bonds	\$ 496,195,000	\$	-	\$	3,480,000	\$	492,715,000	\$	7,850,000		
PFC and Subordinated Revenue Bonds	89,430,000		-		1,490,000		87,940,000		2,860,000		
Deferred amounts:											
For issuance premiums	4,095,628		-		183,181		3,912,447		-		
For issuance discounts	(2,882,702)		-		(92,990)		(2,789,712)		-		
On refunding	(24,461,655)		-		(2,314,492)		(22,147,163)		-		
Total bonds payable	562,376,271		-		2,745,699		559,630,572		10,710,000		
Compensated Absences	3,075,600		2,642,656		2,277,019		3,441,237		90,530		
OPEB Liability	132,090		32,025		-		164,115		-		
Estimated arbitrage taxes payable	177,671		-		177,671		-		-		
Total long term liabilities	\$ 565,761,632	\$	2,674,681	\$	5,200,389	\$	563,235,924	\$	10,800,530		

## Note 7 – Pledged Revenue

The County has pledged certain future revenues, net of specified operating expenses, to repay \$496,195,000 in Airport System Senior Revenue Bonds issued in May 2008 and \$201,800,000 in Airport System Senior Revenue Bonds issued in July 2009. Proceeds from the 2008 Senior Revenue Bonds refunded Series 1992B, Series 1998A, Series 2002A, 45.4% of Series 2006A as well as provided \$323 million in financing for the Terminal Modernization Program. Proceeds from the 2009 Senior Revenue Bonds provided \$163.8 million to continue the financing for the Terminal Modernization Program. The bonds are payable solely from Net Revenues of the Airport System and are payable through 2041. The total principal and interest remaining to be paid on senior bonds is \$1,414,072,407. Principal and interest paid for the current year was \$37,169,647, including \$20,518,942 from capitalized interest, and the total Net Revenues were \$48,627,666.

The County has pledged Passenger Facility Charges to repay \$89,430,000 in Airport System Subordinate and PFC Revenue Refunding Bonds issued in May 2008 and \$278,250,000 in Airport System Subordinate and PFC/Grant Revenue Bonds issued in July 2009. Proceeds from the 2008 Subordinated and PFC Bonds refunded Series 1996C,

Series 1998B and 54.6% of Series 2006A. Proceeds from 2009 Subordinate and PFC/Grant Revenue Bonds provided \$251 million to continue the financing of the Terminal Modernization Program. The 2008 Subordinate and PFC Bonds are payable through 2026 and the 2009 Subordinate and PFC/Grant Revenue Bonds are payable through 2041.

Total principal and interest remaining to be paid on the subordinate bonds is \$743,858,312. Principal and interest paid for the current year was \$13,580,767 and PFC revenue was \$19,618,136. The table below identifies the Available PFC and Available Grant revenues pledged for the payment of debt service on the Series 2008D, 2008E, 2009C and 2009D bonds:

Fiscal Year Ending June 30	Passenger Facility Charges		<b>Grant Revenues</b>		
2011	\$	22,005,931	\$	9,124,000	
2012		21,941,081		8,171,000	
2013		21,939,794		8,220,000	
2014		21,940,816		8,271,000	
2015		21,942,737		8,328,884	
2016		21,944,846			
	\$	131,715,206	\$	42,114,884	

## Note 8 - Rentals and Operating Leases

The Airport System derives a substantial portion of its revenues from charges to air carriers and concessionaires. Substantially all of the assets classified under capital assets in the statements of net assets are held by the Airport System for the purpose of rental or related use.

The Airport System as lessor, leases land, buildings and terminal space to air carriers, concessionaires, and other tenants, on a fixed fee as well as a contingent basis. All leases of the Airport System are treated as operating leases for accounting purposes. Most of the leases provide for an annual review and re-determination of the rental amounts.

In fiscal years 2010 and 2009, the Airport System received approximately \$2,159,250 and \$3,241,428, respectively, for contingent rental payments in excess of stated minimums. The following is a schedule of future minimum rentals receivable on non-cancelable operating leases as of June 30, 2010.

Fiscal years ending June 30	
2011	\$ 16,979,557
2012	15,883,839
2013	15,020,194
2014	13,514,848
2015	2,582,954
2016-2020	2,978,591
2021-2025	3,070,742
2026-2030	2,872,430
2031-2035	1,173,746
2036-2040	410,872
Total future minimum rentals receivable	\$ 74,487,773

## Note 9 – Restricted Net Assets

Restricted net assets are assets that are subject to constraints either (1) externally imposed by creditors (such as debt covenants), grantors, contributors, or laws or regulations of other governments or (2) imposed by law through constitutional provision or enabling legislation.

On March 25, 2008, the Board of Supervisors approved a total TMP art budget of \$8 million which included a \$2 million endowment to be used to support the airport public art in perpetuity. The interest earnings of the endowment fund will be used for: a comprehensive conservation/maintenance and repair program, the creation and maintenance of an ongoing exhibition program and the commissioning of new and permanent artworks. On September 9, 2008, the Board of Supervisors approved a Resolution which directed Airport System staff to deposit \$2 million into the Airport Art Endowment Fund, which is currently held in trust with the County Treasury.

Net assets restricted by enabling legislation totaling \$45,353,413 and \$103,733,132 are included in statements of net assets at June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

## Note 10 - Related Party Transactions

The Airport System reimburses the County for the cost of providing the Airport System with security, engineering, administrative and certain maintenance services. Amounts charged by other County departments are reported as operating expenses during the period incurred or capitalized as capital assets. Accrued expenses for County services were \$1,875,441 and \$2,056,978 at June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Amounts charged by other County departments for fiscal years 2010 and 2009 were as follows:

2010	2009
\$ 19,061,259	\$ 19,167,353
7,375,224	6,209,927
\$ 26,436,483	\$ 25,377,280
	\$ 19,061,259 7,375,224

### Note 11 - Defined Benefit Pension Plan

All permanent full-time or part-time employees of the Airport System participate in the Sacramento County Employees' Retirement System (SCERS), a cost-sharing multiple-employer defined benefit public employee retirement system. A stand alone report is issued for SCERS and may be obtained from the County Department of Finance located at 700 H Street, Sacramento, California 95814. The payroll for Airport System employees covered by SCERS for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 was \$20,513,711 and \$20,526,538, respectively. The Airport System's total payroll for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 was \$21,221,577 and \$21,472,378, respectively. Retirement benefits are based on members' years of service and compensation. Additionally, SCERS provides for benefits upon death or disability of eligible members. Upon reaching five years of service, members have earned the right to receive a retirement benefit. Members are eligible for retirement at age 50 or after 30 years of service for miscellaneous members and 20 years of service for safety members.

Under the actuarial funding method used by SCERS, investments are valued at market and all unrealized gains and losses are recognized over the next five years. Therefore, contribution rates reflect the impact of market

2000

fluctuations on investments during the five-year period after they occur rather than upon disposition of the investment.

Member contributions are required by law and are based on age of entry into SCERS. County contributions are actuarially determined to provide for the balance of contributions needed. The authority for both benefit provisions and contribution obligations is derived from the County Employees Retirement Act of 1937. The contribution requirement for Airport System employees for the year ended June 30, 2010 was \$4,852,183 which consisted of \$3,611,612 from the Airport System and \$1,240,571 from employees; these contributions represented 17.6 percent and 6.0 percent, respectively, of covered payroll. The contribution requirement for Airport System employees for the year ended June 30, 2009 was \$4,670,684 which consisted of \$3,448,250 from the Airport System and \$1,222,434 from employees; these contributions represented 16.8 percent and 6.0 percent, respectively, of covered payroll. For fiscal years 2010, 2009 and 2008, the Airport System's annual pension cost of \$3,611,612, \$3,448,250 and \$3,199,200, respectively, was equal to its required contributions.

## Note 12 – Post-Employment Health Care Benefits

## **Plan Description**

The Airport System is a department of the County, which provides medical insurance and dental insurance, and subsidy/offset payments as authorized by the Board of Supervisors on an annual basis. The Board of Supervisors must approve the benefit annually or it is terminated.

All Annuitants are eligible to enroll in a retiree medical and/or dental insurance plan in a given calendar year if (1) they began receiving a continuing retirement allowance from SCERS during that calendar year, or (2) they were enrolled in the annual plan previously approved by the County, or (3) they previously waived coverage but elected to enroll during the County authorized enrollment period with a coverage date effective January of the given calendar year (continuous coverage).

The following categories of Annuitants are eligible to receive a County-paid medical or dental insurance offset payment during calendar year 2010: Annuitants who retired for any reason on or before December 31, 2004; Annuitants who retired on or after January 1, 2005 but before May 31, 2007, 1)under any form of disability retirement, or 2) having worked for at least 10 years in SCERS-covered employment; and, Annuitants who retired after May 31, 2007, 1)under any form of disability retirement, or 2) having worked for at least 10 years in SCERS-covered employment from certain Recognized Employee Organizations.

The amount of subsidy/offset payments for the calendar year 2010 ranged from \$75 to \$144 per month depending upon the years of service credit. The amount of any medical subsidy/offset payments made available to Annuitants shall be calculated based upon the annuitant's SCERS service credit. The amount of any dental subsidy/offset payments made available to annuitants shall be set by the Board of Supervisors.

Neither SCERS nor the County guarantees that a subsidy or offset payment will be made available to Annuitants for the purchase of County-sponsored medical and/or dental insurance. Subsidy/offset payments are not a vested benefit of County employment or SCERS membership.

#### **Funding Policy**

The County currently pays for post-employment health care benefits of Annuitants on a pay-as-you-go basis; these financial statements assume that the pay-as-you-go will continue. Additional details, actuarial assumptions, funded

status of the plan and required supplementary information can be found in the County's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report.

### **Annual OPEB Cost and Net OPEB Obligation**

The Airport System's annual OPEB cost, the percentage of annual OPEB cost contributed to the plan, and the net OPEB obligation for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2010, 2009 and 2008, are shown in the tables below.

June 30		
2010	2009	2008
\$ 421,389	\$ 535,807	\$ 542,477
7,493	3,432	-
(10,577)	(7,892)	-
418,305	531,347	542,477
(412,835)	(499,322)	(410,387)
5,470	32,025	132,090
164,115	132,090	-
\$ 169,585	\$ 164,115	\$ 132,090
	\$ 421,389 7,493 (10,577) 418,305 (412,835) 5,470 164,115	2010         2009           \$ 421,389         \$ 535,807           7,493         3,432           (10,577)         (7,892)           418,305         531,347           (412,835)         (499,322)           5,470         32,025           164,115         132,090

				Percentage	
	A	Annual		of OPEB	Net
Fiscal year		OPEB		Cost	OPEB
ended	Cost		Contribution	Contributed	Obligation
6/30/2008	\$	542,477	\$ 410,387	76%	\$ 132,090
6/30/2009	\$	531,347	\$ 499,322	94%	\$ 164,115
6/30/2010	\$	418,305	\$ 412,835	99%	\$ 169,585

## Note 13 - Commitments and Contingencies

## **Construction Projects:**

The Airport System had approximately \$319,210,390 in outstanding construction contract commitments at June 30, 2010.

## Federal Grant Awards:

As of June 30, 2010, the Airport System had outstanding federal grant awards totaling approximately \$22.1 million for various construction projects at all four airports. Such funds are not available to the Airport System until related approved expenses are incurred and, until such time, are not accrued as receivables.

On March 6, 2009, the FAA approved the County's Letter of Intent application to fund \$59.9 million of the eligible costs of the TMP. The AIP grant awards will span between fiscal years 2009 and 2015. In addition, the Airport System has received an award of \$11.3 million from the Transportation Security Administration under the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act to fund security-related projects.

Federal grant funds received or receivable are subject to audit and adjustment by the funding agency or their representative. If grant funds are received for expenses which are subsequently disallowed, the Airport System may be required to repay the revenues to the funding agency. In the opinion of management, liabilities resulting from such disallowed expenses, if any, will not be material to the accompanying financial statements at June 30, 2010.

#### **Environmental Matters:**

The Planning and Environment section of the Airport System (P&E) has identified several projects that involve hazardous material (hazmat) activities that may entail fiscal obligations as required by the Governmental Accounting Standard Board Statement No. 49, *Accounting and Financial Reporting for Pollution Remediation Obligations*. A number of the events and incidents described herein involve practices and facilities associated with previous tenant agricultural activities on the approximately 3,000 acres of "buffer" property south of Interstate 5, north of Elverta Road, and along Garden Highway at Sacramento International Airport (Airport). It should be noted that the Airport System allowed all agricultural leases on Airport land to lapse upon expiration on December 31, 2007, and the land is now in "idle" status. It is therefore not anticipated that new incidents involving tenant farmer activities will occur. It is possible, however, that additional abandoned irrigation wells, septic systems, underground storage tanks (USTs) and abandoned or illegally dumped waste may be discovered on Airport property, which would necessitate proper closure in accordance with the County's Well Ordinance and other environmental regulations.

## Yuki Farms Hazmat Spill and Related Issues:

This 90+/- acre parcel was acquired a number of years ago as an operational compatibility "buffer" for the Airport. For several decades it was leased to a tenant farmer who most recently cultivated a pear orchard on the property. The property is located at 7800 Garden Highway (south of the intersection with Elverta Road), and comprises Sacramento County Assessor's Parcel Number (APN) 201-0150-033. As described below, there are four interrelated hazmat issues at this property: (1) cleanup of the original 1997 fuel spill; (2) resolving the inadvertent destruction of one hazmat monitoring well; (3) legally compliant closure of abandoned irrigation wells on the property; and (4) removal of pesticide contaminated soil.

### Gasoline Spill

In October 1997 an approximately 2,000 gallon gasoline spill occurred at the property while a fuel supplier was filling an above ground storage tank (AST). Anecdotal information indicates the tenant removed the contaminated soil and dispersed it at an undisclosed location before an investigation was initiated. The County Environmental Management Department (EMD) began investigating the incident in 1998, and determined the remaining soil was contaminated up to ten feet below ground surface, and that the gasoline additive MTBE had probably reached groundwater. EMD ceased action on the spill in 2001 when the farmer and fuel company could not agree on clean-up responsibility, after which both retained legal counsel. The fuel supplier reportedly declared bankruptcy to avoid liability.

In late 2004 the tenant farmer notified the County that he wished to terminate his lease, which was done by Board action on April 5, 2005 (Resolution no. 2005-0411). In a "Letter of Correction" issued on November 17, 2005, the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) asserted that the orchard was a hazardous wildlife attractant, and instructed the County Airport System to implement a more compatible land use. The potential environmental impact associated with removing the orchard, surrounding oak trees, and the farm structures was addressed in a Final Environmental Impact Report (FEIR) issued in November 2006 pursuant to the California Environmental

Quality Act (CEQA). The FEIR addressed issues such as endangered species habitat and abatement (asbestos and lead-based paint) requirements for removal of the former farm residence, barn, other structures and oak trees at the site. The FEIR mentioned that herbicides and pesticides may be present at the site due to its long use for agricultural activities, but did not indicate whether any monitoring wells or similar devices had been placed on the property. On May 8, 2007, the County Board of Supervisors approved a project to remove the orchard and structures. The project was completed by contractors during 2007, after which the site was graded and leveled. As noted below, enforcement action by EMD did not resume until 2008 and 2009. The gasoline spill incident arose again in early 2008 because the Sacramento Area Flood Control Agency (SAFCA) began conducting studies of the area for the new Sacramento River setback levee, the main component of SAFCA's Natomas Levee Improvement Program (NLIP).

#### Hazmat Monitoring Well Damage

During SAFCA's work at the Yuki site, it was discovered that seven previously unknown hazardous waste monitoring wells were located on the property, and that one of the wells (MW-1) was damaged by SAFCA contractors on November 3, 2009. The County's Architectural Services Division (ASD) solicited a proposal to repair the damage, and approved a proposal submitted by Nichols Engineering on November 25, 2009. The Nichols proposal also included work to properly abandon and close two domestic water wells discovered on the property. The total cost of the Nichols agreement (contract) is \$88,445. This amount does not reflect anticipated civil penalties that will be assessed by County EMD.

#### Yuki Domestic Water Wells

As previously noted, during the SAFCA work, two abandoned domestic water wells were found near the site of the former home on the Yuki property. The County Well Ordinance requires that a permit be obtained to close water wells in accordance with procedures intended to prevent hazardous substances from entering such wells and contaminating groundwater resources.

#### Pesticide Soil Contamination

SAFCA's consultant has located the chemicals DDE and DDT in the soil of the Airport System's owned parcels south of Elverta Road on Garden Highway, within the levee footprint. These pesticides were widely used in agriculture for many decades, and are persistent in soil and groundwater. On May 11, 2009, soil impacted with DDE and DDT pesticide above screening levels was excavated and transported to a disposal facility.

In February 2009 the County Board of Supervisors and the SAFCA Board of Directors approved a "Master Agreement," defining roles and responsibilities regarding various components of the NLIP. Section 6.3 of the Master Agreement requires that any remediation and/or subsequent monitoring for environmental contamination on Yuki farm will be shared equally by the Airport System and SAFCA. The Master Agreement indicates that after completion of the NLIP on Airport property, a financial reconciliation will determine whether SAFCA owes funds to the County Airport System, or vice versa. The cost of the environmental remediation will be included in the reconciliation.

### Abandoned Water Well on APN 201-150-055:

This is a triangular parcel comprising approximately 51.39 acres, located at the north side of the intersection of Elverta Road and Garden Highway. For many years it was occupied by a tenant farmer and included a residence removed by the County several years ago. The County executed a contract with Nichols engineering to assist in the evaluation and destruction of one domestic well at this property. The amount of the contract is \$27,900, which was accrued under GASB 49 provisions.

### Remediation of Airport Waste Water Treatment Ponds:

In April 2009, the County Board of Supervisors authorized an arrangement with Metro Air Park and the Sacramento Area Sewer District (SASD) whereby SCAS will build an Airport sewer connection to the new regional sewage interceptor. After the connection is operational, SCAS will need to empty the existing waste water treatment ponds and conduct hazmat studies to determine the degree of contamination and remediation needed, if any.

### Executive Rental Car Site:

The rental car site clean-up efforts at Executive Airport are close to completion. Information related to additional service and cost is unknown at this point due to the method the County Environmental Management Department may require in abandoning the monitoring wells and whether the concrete box over the wells needs to be removed and patched with asphalt concrete. If EMD allows the use of grout for well abandonment and the concrete boxes can remain in place, then the balance of the current consultant contract in the amount of \$38,073 is sufficient to complete the work. The Airport System is currently in contact with EMD to determine the method of well abandonment.

#### Host Hotel:

After terminating the lease and taking possession of the Host Hotel, a Hazardous Materials Survey and report dated November 30, 2007 was prepared by Entek Consulting Group, Inc. The survey found that there were several materials that contained asbestos, lead based paint, mercury and polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCB). A portion of the hotel was demolished and the hazardous material removed at a cost of approximately \$30,000. The remaining portion of the hotel will be demolished and hazardous material will be removed at a later date at a cost of approximately \$30,000 which was accrued under GASB 49 provisions.

#### Terminal B:

A Hazardous Materials Survey was performed and a report dated March 7, 2008 (Revised September 16, 2008) was prepared by Entek Consulting Group, Inc. The survey found that there were several materials that contained asbestos, lead based paint, mercury and polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCB). The cost to demolish Terminal B and remove the hazardous material is unknown at this time.

## Interim International Arrivals Building (IIAB):

A Hazardous Materials Survey was performed and a report dated March 7, 2008 (Revised September 16, 2008) was prepared by Entek Consulting Group, Inc. The survey found that there were several materials that contained asbestos, lead based paint, mercury and polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCB). The cost to demolish the IIAB and remove the hazardous material is unknown at this time.

Prichard Lake and Jacobs Slough Wetland Fill and Endangered Species Habitat Mitigation Program: The Prichard Lake mitigation occurred on site, but proximity of Jacobs Slough to Runway 16L necessitated acquisition of the 217-acre Willey mitigation property approximately 4.7 miles north of the Airport in Sutter County. The Prichard Lake and Willey mitigation preserve construction work has been completed, and both preserves are now under the complete control of the preserve manager, the Center for Natural Lands Management (CNLM). The County Airport System maintains a productive, collaborative relationship with CNLM. Planning and Environmental staff meets periodically with CNLM's preserve manager and Director of Conservation Science to review issues of mutual concern.

## **Special Facilities Revenue Bonds:**

Variable Rate Demand Special Facilities Airport Revenue Bonds, Series 1998 (Special Facility Bonds) totaling \$9,900,000 were issued on November 3, 1998 to finance the demolition of an existing facility and construction and installation of a replacement aircraft maintenance hangar and associated facilities at Sacramento International Airport for The Cessna Aircraft Company (Cessna). Although taking the legal form of a financing lease between the County and Cessna, the substance of these arrangements is that the Special Facility Bonds constitute a special obligation of the Airport System payable from and secured by certain revenues under its lease with Cessna and certain proceeds pledged therefore under the Indenture. The bonds do not constitute a debt, liability or general obligation of the Airport System or a pledge of the faith and credit of the Airport System. The Airport System will not be obligated to levy any taxes or expend any funds for the repayment of the bonds. As of June 30, 2010 and 2009, the outstanding balance of the debt was \$8,800,000.

## Annual Settlement of Rates and Charges:

On May 1, 2008 the County terminated the Scheduled Airline Operating Agreement and Terminal Building Lease (Prior Agreement) and effective July 1, 2008, the County adopted a new airline Rate Ordinance pursuant to which airline rates and charges were calculated for fiscal years 2009 and 2010. Under the Rate Ordinance, landing fees are calculated according to a cost center residual methodology and terminal building rentals are calculated according to a commercial compensatory methodology. As required by the Prior Agreement, a final settlement of airline rates and charges was conducted during fiscal year 2009 and a net amount of \$10.4 million was credited against future airline obligations. Under the Rate Ordinance, a similar true-up was performed in fiscal year 2010, and the airlines owed \$2.3 million to the Airport System. Due to the complexity of this calculation, the amounts due to the Airport System or the amounts that the Airport System may owe to the airlines for any given fiscal year cannot be reasonably determined until the following fiscal year. A true-up analysis will be performed every fiscal year going forward and the amount of the true-up will be recorded in the period it becomes estimable.

## Note 14 – Subsequent Events

On August 25, 2010, the County of Sacramento issued additional Airport System Senior Revenue Bonds in the amount of \$128,300,000 to complete the financing of the Terminal Modernization Program. The Series 2010 Senior Bonds were issued pursuant to the Master Indenture approved on May 1, 2008 as supplemented and amended by a Fifth Supplemental Indenture of Trust, dated as of August 1, 2010. The Series 2010 Senior Bonds are to be secured by the Trust Estate and payable from Net Revenues on parity with the Series 2009 and 2008 Senior Bonds.

Intentionally Blank

Intentionally Blank

## Statistical Section

This section contains the following subsections:

## Financial Trends

Net Assets Changes in Net Assets

## Revenue Capacity

Total Annual Revenues
Total Annual Expenses
Airline Rates and Charges
Airline and Nonairline Revenues

## **Debt Capacity**

Debt Service Coverage Ratio of Annual Debt Service to Total Expenses Debt per Enplaned Passenger Outstanding Debt

## Demographic and Economic

Service Area Population Population/Personal Income Principal Employers

## **Operating Information**

Activity Statistics Scheduled Airline Service Principal Customers/Airport System Employees/Capital Assets

## Statistical Section

The information contained in this section is not covered by the Independent Auditors' Report, but is presented as supplemental data for the benefit of the Comprehensive Annual Financial Report. The objectives of the statistical section are to provide financial users with additional historical perspective, context, and detail to assist in using information in the financial statements, notes to the financial statements, and required supplementary information to understand and assess a government's economic condition.

The following sub-sections are included in the Statistical Section:

- A. Financial Trends- These schedules contain trend information to help the reader understand how the Airport System's financial performance has changed over time. **Refer to pages 69-71.**
- B. Revenue Capacity- These schedules contain trend information to help the reader assess the Airport System's ability to generate its airline and non-airline revenues. Also included in this section are total annual expenses. **Refer to pages 72-75.**
- C. Debt Capacity-These schedules present information to help the reader assess the affordability of the Airport System's current levels of outstanding debt and the ability to issue additional debt in the future. **Refer to pages 76-79.**
- D. Demographic and Economic Information- These schedules offer demographic and economic indicators to help the reader understand the environment within which the Airport System's financial activities take place.

  Refer to pages 80-81.
- E. Operating Information- These schedules contain information about the Airport System's operations and resources to help the reader understand how its financial information relates to the services the Airport System provides and the activities it performs. **Refer to pages 82-84.**

## Financial Trends Net Assets

	2010	2009	2008	2007	2006
Invested in capital assets -					
net of related debt	\$ 308,869,302	\$ 175,059,316	\$ 156,199,147	\$ 211,869,303	\$ 190,642,485
Restricted:					
Operating Reserve account	24,028,109	27,260,013	21,730,250	21,460,250	19,393,000
Reserve and Contingency fund	2,000,000	2,000,000	1,333,333	1,000,000	1,000,000
Capital construction	102,952,183	72,066,304	56,161,338	4,449,505	4,982,064
Passenger facility charges	45,353,413	103,733,132	123,802,107	103,826,206	81,920,131
Trust Accounts	2,085,347	2,062,811			
Total restricted	176,419,052	207,122,260	203,027,028	130,735,961	107,295,195
Unrestricted	48,379,211	119,895,679	117,486,984	97,616,600	101,482,119
Total net assets	\$ 533,667,565	\$ 502,077,255	\$ 476,713,159	\$ 440,221,864	\$ 399,419,799
	2005	2004	2003	2002	2001
Invested in capital assets -					
net of related debt	\$ 164,627,362	\$ 126,762,388	\$ 105,508,679	\$ 102,971,937	\$ 102,097,452
Restricted:					
Operating Reserve account	17,175,000	17,953,000	17,358,000	16,274,000	14,473,000
Reserve and Contingency fund	1,000,000	1,000,000	1,000,000	1,000,000	1,000,000
Capital construction	4,356,237	4,399,696	4,416,225	1,859,419	2,150,610
Passenger facility charges	66,390,568	52,398,305	48,136,050	44,350,274	37,445,391
Total restricted	88,921,805	75,751,001	70,910,275	63,483,693	55,069,001
Unrestricted	104,777,188	104,009,224	110,420,060	99,911,642	95,345,034
Total net assets	\$ 358,326,355	\$ 306,522,613	\$ 286,839,014	\$ 266,367,272	\$ 252,511,487

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 1}$  Fiscal year 2003 net assets were restated due to change in accounting principles.

Source: Airport System's audited financial statements.

## Financial Trends (cont.) Changes in Net Assets

	2010	2009	2008	2007
Operating revenues:				
Concessions	\$ 63,442,108	\$ 68,600,549	\$ 66,416,283	\$ 64,892,106
Building rents	35,885,350	35,384,002	17,152,979	16,644,929
Airfield charges	26,044,373	10,710,482	22,352,752	15,680,196
Ground leases	2,749,183	2,314,441	3,641,980	4,723,344
Sale of fuel	532,032	580,904	808,229	652,942
Airport services	898,153	1,100,127	911,360	1,015,551
Other	165,604	299,511	101,491	39,528
Total operating revenues	\$ 129,716,803	\$ 118,990,016	\$ 111,385,074	\$ 103,648,596
Operating expenses:				
Salaries and benefits	\$ 33,084,803	\$ 33,640,076	\$ 32,174,897 1	\$ 30,274,323
Services and supplies	48,995,957	49,870,807	54,266,378	46,452,761
Cost of goods sold	431,389	497,815	665,627	573,187
Depreciation	26,928,736	25,750,395	23,707,907	21,062,790
Other	872,816	881,876	837,710	769,160
Total operating expenses	\$ 110,313,701	\$ 110,640,969	\$ 111,652,519	\$ 99,132,221
Operating income (loss)	 19,403,102	8,349,047	(267,445)	 4,516,375
Nonoperating revenues (expenses):				
Interest income	\$ 1,886,860	\$ 6,155,861	\$ 7,519,233	\$ 7,915,789
Passenger Facility Charges revenue	19,618,136	21,489,873	26,653,518	27,182,405
Intergovernmental revenue	954,695	978,992	1,620,376	686,586
Gain (loss) on disposal of assets	(65,452)	64,262	(17,151)	84,711
Other nonoperating revenue (expense)	237,958	157,388	(40,754)	82,107
Amortization of bond issuance cost	(700,471)	(563,240)	(257,068)	(271,965)
Interest expense	(17,105,647)	(18,203,544)	(12,651,944)	(12,057,704)
Net nonoperating revenues	\$ 4,826,079	\$ 10,079,592	\$ 22,826,210	\$ 23,621,929
Income before capital				
contribution and transfers	24,229,181	18,428,639	22,558,765	28,138,304
Capital contributions - grants	7,361,129	6,935,457	13,932,530	12,663,761
Changes in net assets	31,590,310	25,364,096	36,491,295	40,802,065
Total net assets, beginning of year	 502,077,255	 476,713,159	 440,221,864	 399,419,799
Total net assets, end of year	\$ 533,667,565	\$ 502,077,255	\$ 476,713,159	\$ 440,221,864

2006	2005		2004		2003		2002		2001
\$ 60,367,151	\$ 54,307,418		47,623,267	\$	46,383,456	\$	40,548,385	\$	40,453,352
16,087,912	14,170,114		13,803,071		14,397,965		14,699,640		14,225,198
17,779,295	17,107,966		12,353,198		8,341,447		10,138,281		11,720,569
4,403,407	3,607,645		3,110,659		2,768,994		2,722,470		2,881,251
1,339,214	1,332,966		2,662,833		3,615,979		3,304,813		3,337,937
900,536	636,999		137,513		184,562		161,499		118,087
102,681	1,386,070		39,986		52,570		103,327		34,252
\$ 100,980,196	\$ 92,549,178	\$	79,730,527	\$	75,744,973	\$	71,678,415	\$	72,770,646
\$ 28,897,193	\$ 27,313,968	\$	25,280,428	\$	22,883,432	\$	20,819,372	\$	19,838,553
41,462,233	37,688,533		38,792,551	ψ	36,247,504	Ψ	33,026,722	Ψ	26,474,417
1,081,550	914,185		712,784		626,644		638,850		685,067
20,162,706	16,103,705		15,597,039		15,145,180		14,689,534		14,206,060
670,162	909,682		1,218,467		1,046,455		641,388		605,547
\$ 91,814,508	\$ 82,930,073	\$	81,601,269	\$	75,949,215	\$	69,815,866	\$	61,809,644
\$ 91,814,308	\$ 62,930,073	Ф	61,001,209	Ф	73,949,213	Ф	09,813,800	Ф	01,009,044
9,165,688	9,619,105		(1,870,742)		(204,242)		1,862,549		10,961,002
ф. ( (22 290	ф. 4.202.0E2	dt.	2 000 100	ď	4.164.015	ď	( 2(2 409	d.	0.705.072
\$ 6,623,389	\$ 4,303,953	\$	2,888,108	\$	4,164,015	\$	6,263,408	\$	9,705,073
24,511,950	24,454,819		18,498,324		17,621,861		15,259,334		13,660,759
849,340	880,166		702,098		2,831,833		1,313,322		27,321
993	503,692		3,988		(1,878)		28,233		(69,863)
223,468	247,124		140,157		93,133		56,375		57,207
(175,358)	(171,740)	,	(171,740)		(171,740)		(128,151)		(128,151)
(10,536,254)	(12,631,716)		10,315,087)	ф.	(11,023,165)	ф.	(11,614,976)	ф.	(11,947,001)
\$ 21,497,528	\$ 17,586,298	\$	11,745,848	\$	13,514,059	\$	11,177,545	\$	11,305,345
30,663,216	27,205,403		9,875,106		13,309,817		13,040,094		22,266,347
10,889,564	24,598,339		9,808,493		4,520,415		815,691		2,409,737
41,093,444	51,803,742		19,683,599		17,830,232		13,855,785		24,676,084
358,326,355	306,522,613	2	86,839,014		269,008,782		252,511,487		227,835,403
\$ 399,419,799	\$ 358,326,355	\$ 3	06,522,613	\$	286,839,014	\$	266,367,272	\$	252,511,487

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Certain amounts in the financial statements for fiscal year 2008 have been reclassified to conform to the fiscal year 2009 presentation.

Source: Airport System's audited financial statements.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Fiscal year 2003 net assets were restated due to change in accounting principle.

# Revenue Capacity Total Annual Revenues

### LAST TEN FISCAL YEARS

ENOT TENTIOCAL TEARS					
	2010	2009	2008	2007	2006
OPERATING REVENUES					
Concessions	\$ 63,442,108	\$ 68,600,549	\$ 66,416,283	\$ 64,892,106	\$ 60,367,151
Building rents	35,885,350	35,384,002	17,152,979	16,644,929	16,087,912
Airfield charges	26,044,373	10,710,482	22,352,752	15,680,196	17,779,295
Ground leases	2,749,183	2,314,441	3,641,980	4,723,344	4,403,407
Sale of aviation fuel	532,032	580,904	808,229	652,942	1,339,214
Airport services	898,153	1,100,127	911,360	1,015,551	900,536
Other	165,604	299,511	101,491	39,528	102,681
TOTAL OPERATING REVENUES	\$ \$ 129,716,803	\$ 118,990,016	\$ 111,385,074	\$ 103,648,596	\$ 100,980,196
NONOPERATING REVENUES					
Interest income	1,886,860	6,155,861	7,519,233	7,915,789	6,623,389
PFC revenue	19,618,136	21,489,873	26,653,518	27,182,405	24,511,950
Capital contributions	7,361,129	6,935,457	13,932,530	12,663,761	10,889,564
Intergovernmental revenue	954,695	978,992	1,620,376	686,586	849,340
Other nonoperating revenue (exp)	251,679	157,388	(40,754)	82,107	223,468
TOTAL REVENUES	\$ 159,789,302	\$ 154,707,587	\$ 161,069,977	\$ 152,179,244	\$ 144,077,908
	2005	2004	2003	2002	2001
OPERATING REVENUES	2005	2004	2003	2002	2001
OPERATING REVENUES Concessions	<b>2005</b> \$ 54,307,418	<b>2004</b> \$ 47,623,267	<b>2003</b> \$ 46,383,456	<b>2002</b> \$ 40,548,385	<b>2001</b> \$ 40,453,352
Concessions	\$ 54,307,418	\$ 47,623,267	\$ 46,383,456	\$ 40,548,385	\$ 40,453,352
Concessions Building rents	\$ 54,307,418 14,170,114	\$ 47,623,267 13,803,071	\$ 46,383,456 14,397,965	\$ 40,548,385 14,699,640	\$ 40,453,352 14,225,198
Concessions Building rents Airfield charges	\$ 54,307,418 14,170,114 17,107,966	\$ 47,623,267 13,803,071 12,353,198	\$ 46,383,456 14,397,965 8,341,447	\$ 40,548,385 14,699,640 10,138,281	\$ 40,453,352 14,225,198 11,720,569
Concessions Building rents Airfield charges Ground leases	\$ 54,307,418 14,170,114 17,107,966 3,607,645	\$ 47,623,267 13,803,071 12,353,198 3,110,659	\$ 46,383,456 14,397,965 8,341,447 2,768,994	\$ 40,548,385 14,699,640 10,138,281 2,722,470	\$ 40,453,352 14,225,198 11,720,569 2,881,251
Concessions Building rents Airfield charges Ground leases Sale of aviation fuel	\$ 54,307,418 14,170,114 17,107,966 3,607,645 1,332,966	\$ 47,623,267 13,803,071 12,353,198 3,110,659 2,662,833	\$ 46,383,456 14,397,965 8,341,447 2,768,994 3,615,979	\$ 40,548,385 14,699,640 10,138,281 2,722,470 3,304,813	\$ 40,453,352 14,225,198 11,720,569 2,881,251 3,337,937
Concessions Building rents Airfield charges Ground leases Sale of aviation fuel Airport services	\$ 54,307,418 14,170,114 17,107,966 3,607,645 1,332,966 636,999 1,386,070	\$ 47,623,267 13,803,071 12,353,198 3,110,659 2,662,833 137,513	\$ 46,383,456 14,397,965 8,341,447 2,768,994 3,615,979 184,562	\$ 40,548,385 14,699,640 10,138,281 2,722,470 3,304,813 161,499	\$ 40,453,352 14,225,198 11,720,569 2,881,251 3,337,937 118,087
Concessions Building rents Airfield charges Ground leases Sale of aviation fuel Airport services Other	\$ 54,307,418 14,170,114 17,107,966 3,607,645 1,332,966 636,999 1,386,070	\$ 47,623,267 13,803,071 12,353,198 3,110,659 2,662,833 137,513 39,986	\$ 46,383,456 14,397,965 8,341,447 2,768,994 3,615,979 184,562 52,570	\$ 40,548,385 14,699,640 10,138,281 2,722,470 3,304,813 161,499 103,327	\$ 40,453,352 14,225,198 11,720,569 2,881,251 3,337,937 118,087 34,252
Concessions Building rents Airfield charges Ground leases Sale of aviation fuel Airport services Other  TOTAL OPERATING REVENUES	\$ 54,307,418 14,170,114 17,107,966 3,607,645 1,332,966 636,999 1,386,070	\$ 47,623,267 13,803,071 12,353,198 3,110,659 2,662,833 137,513 39,986	\$ 46,383,456 14,397,965 8,341,447 2,768,994 3,615,979 184,562 52,570	\$ 40,548,385 14,699,640 10,138,281 2,722,470 3,304,813 161,499 103,327	\$ 40,453,352 14,225,198 11,720,569 2,881,251 3,337,937 118,087 34,252
Concessions Building rents Airfield charges Ground leases Sale of aviation fuel Airport services Other  TOTAL OPERATING REVENUES NONOPERATING REVENUES	\$ 54,307,418 14,170,114 17,107,966 3,607,645 1,332,966 636,999 1,386,070 \$ 92,549,178	\$ 47,623,267 13,803,071 12,353,198 3,110,659 2,662,833 137,513 39,986 \$ 79,730,527	\$ 46,383,456 14,397,965 8,341,447 2,768,994 3,615,979 184,562 52,570 \$ 75,744,973	\$ 40,548,385 14,699,640 10,138,281 2,722,470 3,304,813 161,499 103,327 \$ 71,678,415	\$ 40,453,352 14,225,198 11,720,569 2,881,251 3,337,937 118,087 34,252 \$ 72,770,646
Concessions Building rents Airfield charges Ground leases Sale of aviation fuel Airport services Other  TOTAL OPERATING REVENUES NONOPERATING REVENUES Interest income	\$ 54,307,418 14,170,114 17,107,966 3,607,645 1,332,966 636,999 1,386,070 \$ 92,549,178	\$ 47,623,267 13,803,071 12,353,198 3,110,659 2,662,833 137,513 39,986 \$ 79,730,527	\$ 46,383,456 14,397,965 8,341,447 2,768,994 3,615,979 184,562 52,570 \$ 75,744,973	\$ 40,548,385 14,699,640 10,138,281 2,722,470 3,304,813 161,499 103,327 \$ 71,678,415	\$ 40,453,352 14,225,198 11,720,569 2,881,251 3,337,937 118,087 34,252 \$ 72,770,646
Concessions Building rents Airfield charges Ground leases Sale of aviation fuel Airport services Other  TOTAL OPERATING REVENUES NONOPERATING REVENUES Interest income PFC revenue	\$ 54,307,418 14,170,114 17,107,966 3,607,645 1,332,966 636,999 1,386,070 \$ 92,549,178 4,303,953 24,454,819	\$ 47,623,267 13,803,071 12,353,198 3,110,659 2,662,833 137,513 39,986 \$ 79,730,527 2,888,108 18,498,324	\$ 46,383,456 14,397,965 8,341,447 2,768,994 3,615,979 184,562 52,570 \$ 75,744,973	\$ 40,548,385 14,699,640 10,138,281 2,722,470 3,304,813 161,499 103,327 \$ 71,678,415 6,263,408 15,259,334	\$ 40,453,352 14,225,198 11,720,569 2,881,251 3,337,937 118,087 34,252 \$ 72,770,646 9,705,073 13,660,759
Concessions Building rents Airfield charges Ground leases Sale of aviation fuel Airport services Other  TOTAL OPERATING REVENUES NONOPERATING REVENUES Interest income PFC revenue Capital contributions	\$ 54,307,418 14,170,114 17,107,966 3,607,645 1,332,966 636,999 1,386,070 5 \$ 92,549,178 4,303,953 24,454,819 24,598,339	\$ 47,623,267 13,803,071 12,353,198 3,110,659 2,662,833 137,513 39,986 \$ 79,730,527 2,888,108 18,498,324 9,808,493	\$ 46,383,456 14,397,965 8,341,447 2,768,994 3,615,979 184,562 52,570 \$ 75,744,973 4,164,015 17,621,861 4,520,415	\$ 40,548,385 14,699,640 10,138,281 2,722,470 3,304,813 161,499 103,327 \$ 71,678,415 6,263,408 15,259,334 815,691	\$ 40,453,352 14,225,198 11,720,569 2,881,251 3,337,937 118,087 34,252 \$ 72,770,646 9,705,073 13,660,759 2,409,737

Source: Airport System's audited financial statements.

TOTAL REVENUES \$ 146,992,018

\$ 98,630,743

\$ 111,767,707

\$ 104,976,230

\$ 95,386,545

# Revenue Capacity (cont.) Total Annual Expenses

### LAST TEN FISCAL YEARS

	2010	2009	2008	2007	2006
OPERATING EXPENSES					
Salaries and benefits	\$ 33,084,803	\$ 33,640,076	\$ 32,174,897 1	\$ 30,274,323	\$ 28,897,193
Services and supplies	48,995,957	49,870,807	54,266,378	46,452,761	41,462,233
Cost of goods sold	431,389	497,815	665,627	573,187	1,081,550
Depreciation and amortization	26,928,736	25,750,395	23,707,907	21,062,790	20,162,706
Other	872,816	881,876	837,710	769,160	670,162
TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES	\$ 110,313,701	\$ 110,640,969	\$ 111,652,519	\$ 99,132,221	\$ 92,273,844
NONOPERATING EXPENSES					
Interest expense	17,105,647	18,203,544	12,651,944	12,057,704	10,536,254
Loss (gain) on disposal of assets	79,173	(64,262)	17,151	(84,711)	(993)
Amortization of bond issuance costs	700,471	563,240	257,068	271,965	175,358
TOTAL EXPENSES	\$ 128,198,992	\$ 129,343,491	\$ 124,578,682	\$ 111,377,179	\$ 102,984,463
	2005	2004	2003	2002	2001
ODED A TIN IC ENVIOLENCE					
OPERATING EXPENSES					
C-1	ф <b>ЭТ Э1</b> Э ОСО	ф <b>25 2</b> 00 4 <b>2</b> 0	ф 22.002.422	ф. <b>21</b> 104 007	ф 10.020 FF2
Salaries and benefits	\$ 27,313,968	\$ 25,280,428	\$ 22,883,432	\$ 21,194,906	\$ 19,838,553
Services and supplies	37,688,533	38,792,551	36,247,504	32,887,678	26,474,417
Services and supplies Cost of goods sold	37,688,533 914,185	38,792,551 712,784	36,247,504 626,644	32,887,678 638,850	26,474,417 685,067
Services and supplies Cost of goods sold Depreciation and amortization	37,688,533 914,185 16,103,705	38,792,551 712,784 15,597,039	36,247,504 626,644 15,145,180	32,887,678 638,850 14,689,534	26,474,417 685,067 14,206,060
Services and supplies Cost of goods sold	37,688,533 914,185	38,792,551 712,784	36,247,504 626,644	32,887,678 638,850	26,474,417 685,067
Services and supplies Cost of goods sold Depreciation and amortization	37,688,533 914,185 16,103,705 868,211	38,792,551 712,784 15,597,039	36,247,504 626,644 15,145,180	32,887,678 638,850 14,689,534	26,474,417 685,067 14,206,060
Services and supplies Cost of goods sold Depreciation and amortization Other	37,688,533 914,185 16,103,705 868,211	38,792,551 712,784 15,597,039 1,218,467	36,247,504 626,644 15,145,180 1,046,455	32,887,678 638,850 14,689,534 641,388	26,474,417 685,067 14,206,060 605,547
Services and supplies Cost of goods sold Depreciation and amortization Other TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES	37,688,533 914,185 16,103,705 868,211	38,792,551 712,784 15,597,039 1,218,467	36,247,504 626,644 15,145,180 1,046,455	32,887,678 638,850 14,689,534 641,388	26,474,417 685,067 14,206,060 605,547
Services and supplies Cost of goods sold Depreciation and amortization Other  TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES NONOPERATING EXPENSES	37,688,533 914,185 16,103,705 868,211 \$ 82,888,602	38,792,551 712,784 15,597,039 1,218,467 \$ 81,601,269	36,247,504 626,644 15,145,180 1,046,455 \$ 75,949,215	32,887,678 638,850 14,689,534 641,388 \$ 70,052,356	26,474,417 685,067 14,206,060 605,547 \$ 61,809,644
Services and supplies Cost of goods sold Depreciation and amortization Other  TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES NONOPERATING EXPENSES Interest expense	37,688,533 914,185 16,103,705 868,211 \$ 82,888,602	38,792,551 712,784 15,597,039 1,218,467 \$ 81,601,269	36,247,504 626,644 15,145,180 1,046,455 \$ 75,949,215	32,887,678 638,850 14,689,534 641,388 \$ 70,052,356	26,474,417 685,067 14,206,060 605,547 \$ 61,809,644
Services and supplies Cost of goods sold Depreciation and amortization Other  TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES  NONOPERATING EXPENSES Interest expense Loss (gain) on disposal of assets	37,688,533 914,185 16,103,705 868,211 \$ 82,888,602 12,631,716 (503,692)	38,792,551 712,784 15,597,039 1,218,467 \$ 81,601,269	36,247,504 626,644 15,145,180 1,046,455 \$ 75,949,215	32,887,678 638,850 14,689,534 641,388 \$ 70,052,356	26,474,417 685,067 14,206,060 605,547 \$ 61,809,644

Source: Airport System's audited financial statements.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Certain amounts in the financial statements for fiscal year 2008 have been reclassified to conform to the fiscal year 2009 presentation.

## Revenue Capacity (cont.) Airline Rates and Charges

#### LAST TEN FISCAL YEARS

	2010	2009	2008	2007	2006
Landing fee rate (Per 1,000 lbs.) <sup>1</sup>	\$ 3.92	\$ 3.30	\$ 2.77	\$ 1.99	\$ 2.44
Terminal rental rates (Per Sq. Foot)					
Ticket counter	\$ 155.07	\$ 140.48	\$ 65.48	\$ 65.52	\$ 73.11
Office and enclosed	155.07	140.48	65.48	65.52	73.11
Unenclosed <sup>2</sup>	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00
Holdroom	155.07	140.48	65.48	65.52	73.11
Baggage makeup/joint use	155.07	140.48	65.48	65.52	73.11
Storage	155.07	140.48	65.48	65.52	73.11

	2005	2004	<b>2003</b> <sup>3</sup>	2002	2001
Landing fee (Per 1,000 lbs.)	\$ 2.37	\$ 1.79	\$ 1.21	\$ 1.57	\$ 1.72
Terminal rental rates (Per Sq. Foot)					
Ticket counter	\$ 64.56	\$ 48.00	\$ 58.20	\$ 54.60	\$ 54.45
Office and enclosed	64.56	48.00	58.20	54.60	54.45
Unenclosed <sup>2</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Holdroom	64.56	48.00	58.20	54.60	54.45
Baggage makeup/joint use	64.56	48.00	58.20	54.60	54.45
Storage	64.56	48.00	58.20	54.60	54.45

 $Source: \ Airport \ System \ records.$ 

#### Note:

The Airport System negotiated the first airline agreement which governed the calculation of rates and fees charged to scheduled airlines effective January 1, 1982. This agreement was terminated July 1, 1989 when the County adopted a Rate Ordinance relating to airline rates and fees. In October, 2000 a new airline agreement was executed and rates and fees were calculated in accordance with the Agreement until the agreement was terminated effective May 1, 2008, at which time rates and fees were again calculated in accordance with the Rate Ordinance. Effective July 1, 2008, the County adopted a new Rate Ordinance which governs the current calculation of rates and fees.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Signatory rate shown for years in which an airline agreement was effective.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Effective July 2005, unenclosed space at \$1.00 per square foot per month added to Terminal Rental Rates.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Average used for presentation purposes. Due to the imposition of a mid-year adjustment, the landing fee was decreased from \$1.39 (July – December 2002) to \$1.03 (January – July 2003).

# Revenue Capacity (cont.) Airline and Non-airline Revenues

### LAST TEN FISCAL YEARS

	2010	2009	2008	2007	2006
AIRLINE REVENUE: Terminal rents Gate use (apron) fees Landing fees Total Percent of total revenues	\$ 28,182,839	\$ 24,391,882	\$ 10,991,364	\$ 12,124,102	\$ 11,498,311
	3,486,765	6,234,017	1,847,839	1,478,640	1,465,139
	25,823,533	10,471,032	22,108,669	15,477,727	17,250,215
	\$ 57,493,137	\$ 41,096,931	\$ 34,947,872	\$ 29,080,469	\$ 30,213,665
	36.0%	26.6%	21.7%	19.1%	21.0%
NON-AIRLINE REVENUES	72,223,666	77,893,085	76,437,202	74,568,127	70,766,531
Percent of total revenues	45.2%	50.3%	47.5%	49.0%	49.1%
NONOPERATING REVENUES Percent of total revenues	30,072,499	35,717,571	49,684,903	48,530,648	43,097,712
	18.8%	23.1%	30.8%	31.9%	29.9%
TOTAL REVENUES	\$ 159,789,302	\$ 154,707,587	\$ 161,069,977	\$ 152,179,244	\$ 144,077,908
Enplaned passengers REVENUE PER ENPLANED	4,445,991	4,603,182	5,294,737	5,307,289	5,150,229
PASSENGER AIRLINE REVENUE PER	\$ 35.94	\$ 33.61	\$ 30.42	\$ 28.67	\$ 27.98
ENPLANED PASSENGER <sup>1</sup>	\$ 12.93	\$ 8.93	\$ 6.60	\$ 5.48	\$ 5.87
	2005	2004	2003	2002	2001
AIRLINE REVENUE: Terminal rents Gate use (apron) fees Landing fees Total Percent of total revenues	\$ 10,006,224	\$ 9,438,916	\$ 10,070,679	\$ 9,050,197	\$ 8,773,980
	628,401	924,016	1,124,946	2,013,206	1,878,296
	16,861,922	12,016,265	7,972,534	9,714,846	11,318,609
	\$ 27,496,547	\$ 22,379,197	\$ 19,168,159	\$ 20,778,249	\$ 21,970,885
	18.7%	20.0%	18.3%	21.8%	22.3%
Terminal rents Gate use (apron) fees Landing fees Total	\$ 10,006,224	\$ 9,438,916	\$ 10,070,679	\$ 9,050,197	\$ 8,773,980
	628,401	924,016	1,124,946	2,013,206	1,878,296
	16,861,922	12,016,265	7,972,534	9,714,846	11,318,609
	\$ 27,496,547	\$ 22,379,197	\$ 19,168,159	\$ 20,778,249	\$ 21,970,885
Terminal rents Gate use (apron) fees Landing fees Total Percent of total revenues NON-AIRLINE REVENUES	\$ 10,006,224	\$ 9,438,916	\$ 10,070,679	\$ 9,050,197	\$ 8,773,980
	628,401	924,016	1,124,946	2,013,206	1,878,296
	16,861,922	12,016,265	7,972,534	9,714,846	11,318,609
	\$ 27,496,547	\$ 22,379,197	\$ 19,168,159	\$ 20,778,249	\$ 21,970,885
	18.7%	20.0%	18.3%	21.8%	22.3%
	65,052,631	57,351,330	56,576,814	50,900,166	50,799,761
Terminal rents Gate use (apron) fees Landing fees Total Percent of total revenues NON-AIRLINE REVENUES Percent of total revenues NONOPERATING REVENUES	\$ 10,006,224	\$ 9,438,916	\$ 10,070,679	\$ 9,050,197	\$ 8,773,980
	628,401	924,016	1,124,946	2,013,206	1,878,296
	16,861,922	12,016,265	7,972,534	9,714,846	11,318,609
	\$ 27,496,547	\$ 22,379,197	\$ 19,168,159	\$ 20,778,249	\$ 21,970,885
	18.7%	20.0%	18.3%	21.8%	22.3%
	65,052,631	57,351,330	56,576,814	50,900,166	50,799,761
	44.3%	51.3%	53.9%	53.4%	51.5%
	54,442,840	32,037,180	29,231,257	23,708,130	25,860,097
Terminal rents Gate use (apron) fees Landing fees Total Percent of total revenues  NON-AIRLINE REVENUES Percent of total revenues  NONOPERATING REVENUES Percent of total revenues	\$ 10,006,224	\$ 9,438,916	\$ 10,070,679	\$ 9,050,197	\$ 8,773,980
	628,401	924,016	1,124,946	2,013,206	1,878,296
	16,861,922	12,016,265	7,972,534	9,714,846	11,318,609
	\$ 27,496,547	\$ 22,379,197	\$ 19,168,159	\$ 20,778,249	\$ 21,970,885
	18.7%	20.0%	18.3%	21.8%	22.3%
	65,052,631	57,351,330	56,576,814	50,900,166	50,799,761
	44.3%	51.3%	53.9%	53.4%	51.5%
	54,442,840	32,037,180	29,231,257	23,708,130	25,860,097
	37.0%	28.7%	27.8%	24.9%	26.2%

Source: Audited financial statements and Airport System statistics reports.

 $<sup>{}^{\</sup>scriptscriptstyle 1}\! \text{Includes}$  air cargo landing fees.

# Debt Capacity Debt Service Coverage

FISCAL YEARS 2009 AND 2010

		2010		2009
Rate Covenant - per Section 6.04 (b)(i)				
Revenues <sup>1</sup>	\$	132,007,161	\$	134,667,273
Operating Expenses <sup>2</sup>		(83,384,965)		(84,890,322)
Net Revenues	\$	48,622,196	\$	49,776,951
Transfer		4,301,661	_	3,992,960
Net Revenues + Transfer	\$	52,923,857	\$	53,769,911
Accrued Debt Service of Senior Obligations <sup>3</sup>	\$	17,206,645	\$	15,971,841
Debt Service Coverage (>1.25)	_	3.08	_	3.37
Rate Covenant - per Section 6.04 (b)(ii)				
Net Revenues	\$	48,622,196	\$	49,776,951
Transfer		1,720,665		1,597,184
Net Revenues + Transfer	\$	50,342,861	\$	51,374,135
Accrued Debt Service of Senior Obligations	\$	17,206,645	\$	15,971,841
Debt Service on Subordinate Obligations <sup>4</sup>		30,130,833		6,939,856
LESS: Available PFC Revenues		(20,448,833)		(6,939,856)
LESS: Available Grant Revenues		(9,682,000)		
Accrued Debt Service of Sr. & Sub. Obligations	\$	17,206,645	\$	15,971,841
Debt Service Coverage (>1.10)	_	2.93	_	3.22

Note: The information presented in the above table reflects the definitions, conventions and debt service coverage calculation methodology set forth in the Master Indenture of Trust, approved by the Board of Supervisors and dated May 1, 2008, and under the terms of supplemental indentures. The debt service coverage table presented in the following page includes the debt service coverage calculation for fiscal years 2001 through 2008, for Senior Bonds outstanding prior to 2008, and according to the documents pursuant to which these bonds were issued.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Per bond indenture, Revenues include all Airport System revenues excluding certain interest earnings and restricted revenues. <sup>2</sup>Per bond indenture, Operating Expenses include all Airport System operating costs and other non operating expenses. Operating Expenses exclude depreciation, amortization and debt service.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The Accrued Debt Service includes the principal payment due on July 1, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Per the fourth supplemental indenture, PFC and LOI Subordinated Revenue Bonds are payable from and secured by pledged Available PFC Revenues, Available Grant Revenues and Net Revenues subordinate and junior to the lien on Senior Revenue bonds.

## Debt Capacity (cont.) Debt Service Coverage (cont.)

#### FISCAL YEARS 2001 THROUGH 2008

#### PRIOR BONDS 1

	2008	2007	2006	2005
Total Operating Revenues  Certain Non-Operating Revenues <sup>2</sup>	\$ 111,385,074 7,555,183	\$ 103,648,596 12,961,598	\$ 100,980,196 10,486,622	\$ 92,549,178 12,220,192
Revenues Operating Expenses <sup>3</sup> Net Revenues	\$ 118,940,257 (87,769,525) \$ 31,170,732	\$ 116,610,194 (78,636,521) \$ 37,973,673	\$ 111,466,818 (72,668,987) \$ 38,797,831	\$ 104,769,370 (67,524,525) \$ 37,244,845
Debt Service Requirement <sup>4</sup>	\$ 15,507,142	\$ 12,458,165	\$ 14,721,391	\$ 16,835,805
Debt Service Coverage	2.0	3.0	2.6	2.2
	2004	2003	2002	2001
Total Operating Revenues  Certain Non-Operating Revenues <sup>2</sup>	\$ 79,730,527 10,593,301	\$ 75,744,973 13,510,195	\$ 71,678,415 12,186,966	\$ 72,770,646 12,166,231
		10,010,150	12,100,700	12,100,231
Revenues Operating Expenses <sup>3</sup> Net Revenues	\$ 90,323,828 (66,842,925) \$ 23,480,903	\$ 89,255,168 (63,338,095) \$ 25,917,073	\$ 83,865,381 (56,134,649) \$ 27,730,732	\$ 84,936,877 (49,297,268) \$ 35,639,609

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Prior Bonds represent bonds which were previously issued to finance Airport System improvements but are no longer outstanding.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Includes certain interest income, PFC revenues used to pay debt service with respect to Prior Bonds which constituted Senior Bonds under the documents pursuant to which the Prior Bonds were issued, and prepaid revenues.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Operating Expenses include all Airport System operating costs and certain capital.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Represents debt service requirement only with respect to Prior Bonds. The Prior Bonds outstanding as of May 2008 were refunded with a portion of the proceeds of the Series 2008 Bonds.

# Debt Capacity (cont.) Ratio of Annual Debt Service to Total Expenses

#### LAST TEN FISCAL YEARS

		2010		2009		2008		2007		2006
Principal	\$	10,710,000	\$	4,970,000	\$	4,705,000	\$	7,660,000	\$	6,705,000
Interest <sup>1</sup>		17,105,647		18,203,544		11,516,327		11,800,681		11,881,872
Total debt service	\$	27,815,647	\$	23,173,544	\$	16,221,327	\$	19,460,681	\$	18,586,872
Total expenses	\$	128,198,992	\$	129,364,269	\$	124,769,226	\$	111,488,714	\$	102,984,463
RATIO OF DEBT SERVICE										
TO TOTAL EXPENSES		21.7%		17.9%		13.0%		17.5%		18.1%
		2005		2004		2003		2002		2001
Principal	\$	<b>2005</b> 6,425,000	\$	<b>2004</b> 4,845,000	\$	<b>2003</b> 4,470,000	\$	<b>2002</b> 4,250,000	\$	<b>2001</b> 4,055,000
Principal Interest <sup>1</sup>	\$		\$		\$		\$		\$	
* .	\$	6,425,000	\$	4,845,000	\$	4,470,000	\$	4,250,000	\$	4,055,000
Interest <sup>1</sup>	\$ \$	6,425,000 14,082,421	_	4,845,000 14,366,581	_	4,470,000 14,164,206	_	4,250,000 11,585,558	_	4,055,000 11,796,248
Interest <sup>1</sup> Total debt service	\$ \$	6,425,000 14,082,421 20,507,421	\$	4,845,000 14,366,581 19,211,581	\$	4,470,000 14,164,206 18,634,206	\$	4,250,000 11,585,558 15,835,558	\$	4,055,000 11,796,248 15,851,248
Interest <sup>1</sup> Total debt service Total expenses	\$ \$	6,425,000 14,082,421 20,507,421	\$	4,845,000 14,366,581 19,211,581	\$	4,470,000 14,164,206 18,634,206	\$	4,250,000 11,585,558 15,835,558	\$	4,055,000 11,796,248 15,851,248

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Does not include capitalized interest.

## Debt per Enplaned Passenger

#### LAST TEN FISCAL YEARS

Enor leithoche leino										
		2010		2009		2008		2007		2006
Panda mayahla	¢	1,026,535,309	ф E1	59,630,572	¢ =	562,376,271	¢ 24	4,133,482	¢ o	40 02E 202
Bonds payable	Ф	1,020,333,309	<b>\$</b> 33	39,630,372	ф	002,370,271	J 24	4,133,462	<b>P</b> 2	48,835,292
Enplaned passengers		4,445,991		4,603,182		5,294,737		5,307,289		5,150,229
DEBT PER ENPLANED PASSENGER	\$	230.89	\$	121.57	\$	106.21	\$	46.00	\$	48.32
		2005		2004		2003		2002		2001
D 1 1.1.	Φ	261,578,571	¢ 2/	67,519,013	\$ 2	271,879,455	\$ 19	5,617,887	\$ 1	99,570,443
Bonds payable	Ф	201,376,371	φ 20	37,319,013	ΨΖ	71,077,100	ψ 12.	3,017,007	ΨΙ	77,010,110
Enplaned passengers	<b></b>	4,986,171	\$ 20	4,563,607	Ψ 2	4,314,273	_	4,042,585	Ψ 1	4,104,096
1 7	\$		\$		\$		_		\$	

# Debt Capacity (cont.) Outstanding Debt

### LAST TEN FISCAL YEARS

Revenue Bonds		2010	2009	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002		2001
1989 series	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 6,960,000	\$	7,155,000
(6.97% to 7.0%, due 2003-2020)												
1992 series		-	-	-	6,290,000	6,290,000	6,290,000	6,290,000	6,290,000	16,510,000		17,295,000
(5.8% to 6.0% , due 2006-2024) 1996 series A		_	_	_	_	_	84,085,000	86,310,000	88,430,000	88,430,000		90,450,000
(5.3% to 6%, due 2006-2024)							04,000,000	00,510,000	00,400,000	00,430,000		70,430,000
1998 refunding series A		-	-	-	38,780,000	39,730,000	40,640,000	40,985,000	41,315,000	41,315,000		41,630,000
(4.1% to 5.0%, due 2006-2026)												
2002 series A		-	-	-	69,865,000	71,290,000	72,675,000	74,015,000	74,015,000	-		-
(3.0% to 5.25%, due 2006-2032)					40.000.000	44.450.000	45.000.000	47.700.000	4= 00= 000			
2002 refunding series B (3.0% to 5.25%, due 2006-2020)		-	-	-	13,900,000	14,650,000	15,380,000	16,620,000	17,805,000	-		-
(5.0% to 5.25%, the 2006-2020) 2006 series A		_	_	_	76,325,000	79,450,000	_	_	-	_		_
(variable interest rate per					,,	, ,						
auction, due 2007 - 2024)												
2008 series A		163,695,000	166,675,000	169,575,000	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
(4.85% to 5.0%, due 2028-2041)												
2008 series B		311,730,000	313,760,000	314,340,000	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
(4.25% to 5.75%, due 2013-2039) 2008 refunding series C		9,440,000	12,280,000	12,280,000								
(5.20%, due 2012)		7,440,000	12,280,000	12,280,000	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
2009 series A		31,115,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
(5.5%, due 2041)												
2009 series B		170,685,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
(5.50% to 5.75%, due 2034-2039)												
Total revenue bonds	\$	686,665,000	\$ 492,715,000	\$ 496,195,000	\$ 205,160,000	\$ 211,410,000	\$ 219,070,000	\$ 224,220,000	\$ 227,855,000	\$ 153,215,000	\$	156,530,000
Tour revenue bonds	Ψ	000,000,000	Ψ 1,72,710,000	Ψ 170,170,000	Ψ 200,100,000	Ψ 211,110,000	<u> </u>	Ψ 221,220,000	Ψ 227,000,000	Ψ 100,210,000	Ψ	100,000,000
PFC and Subordinated Revenue Bonds												
1996 series C	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	4,870,000	6,150,000	7,365,000	8,520,000	9,615,000	9,615,000		10,660,000
(5.3% to 5.9%, due 2006-2010)												
1998 refunding series B		-	-	-	44,365,000	44,495,000	44,620,000	44,740,000	44,855,000	44,855,000		44,965,000
(4.1% to 5.0%, due 2006-2026)		45 155 000	45 505 000	46 200 000								
2008 refunding series D (5.0%, due 2026)		45,155,000	45,595,000	46,390,000	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
2008 refunding series E		39,925,000	42,345,000	43,040,000	_	-	_	_	_	_		_
(4.25% to 5.75%, due 2013-2024)		,,	,,	-,,								
2009 series C		112,860,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
(5.75% to 6.0%, due 2039-2041)												
2009 series D		165,390,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
(6.0%, due 2035)												
Total PFC and subordinated revenue bonds	\$	363,330,000	\$ 87,940,000	\$ 89,430,000	\$ 49,235,000	\$ 50,645,000	\$ 51,985,000	\$ 53,260,000	\$ 54,470,000	\$ 54,470,000	\$	55,625,000
												<u> </u>
Total bonds payable	\$	1,049,995,000	\$ 580,655,000	\$ 585,625,000	\$ 254,395,000	\$ 262,055,000	\$ 271,055,000	\$ 277,480,000	\$ 282,325,000	\$ 207,685,000	\$	212,155,000
					-	-				-		

## Demographic and Economic Service Area Population

### LAST TEN FISCAL YEARS

	2010	2009	2008	2007	2006
PRIMARY AREA					
Sacramento County	1,445,327	1,433,187	1,424,415	1,406,804	1,385,607
Placer County	347,102	339,577	333,401	324,495	316,508
Yolo County	202,953	200,709	199,066	193,983	190,344
San Joaquin County	694,293	689,480	685,660	675,463	670,159
El Dorado County	182,019	180,185	179,722	178,674	176,204
Sutter County	99,154	96,554	95,878	93,919	91,450
Yuba County	73,380	72,900	71,929	70,745	69,827
TOTAL PRIMARY AREA	3,044,228	3,012,592	2,990,071	2,944,083	2,900,099
SECONDARY AREA	945,140	942,379	942,002	938,650	935,164
TOTAL POPULATION	3,989,368	3,954,971	3,932,073	3,882,733	3,835,263

	2005	2004	2003	2002	2001
PRIMARY AREA					
Sacramento County	1,369,855	1,335,400	1,309,600	1,279,900	1,258,600
Placer County	305,675	292,100	275,600	264,900	257,500
Yolo County	187,743	184,500	181,300	176,300	173,500
El Dorado County	659,885	644,513	166,000	163,600	159,700
San Joaquin County	173,407	168,100	625,600	607,487	591,081
Sutter County	88,945	85,500	83,200	81,900	80,900
Yuba County	66,734	64,800	62,800	61,000	60,800
TOTAL PRIMARY AREA	2,852,244	2,774,913	2,704,100	2,635,087	2,582,081
SECONDARY AREA	926,661	912,425	901,878	889,834	882,722
TOTAL POPULATION	3,778,905	3,687,338	3,605,978	3,524,921	3,464,803

Source: California Department of Finance.

Secondary area population is estimated at 81% of total population for counties included in this category. The secondary area includes Alpine, Amador, Butte, Calaveras, Colusa, Glenn, Napa, Nevada, Plumas, Sierra, Solano, Tehama and Tuolumne Counties.

# Demographic and Economic (cont.) Population/Personal Income of Sacramento County

#### LAST TEN FISCAL YEARS

	2010	2009	2008	2007	2006
Population	1,445,000	1,433,187	1,424,415	1,406,804	1,385,607
Personal income	N/A 1	\$ 54,177,837	\$ 50,157,252	\$ 48,313,850	\$ 46,376,000
Per capita personal income	N/A 1	\$ 39,076	\$ 36,340	\$ 35,197	\$ 34,014
Unemployment rate (%)	12.1	11.1	6.5	5.3	4.7

		2005		2004		2003		2002		2001
Population		1,369,855		1,335,400		1,309,600		1,279,900		1,258,600
Personal income	\$ 4	3,228,715	\$ 4	0,093,483	\$ 3	8,649,539	\$ 3	7,225,183	\$ 35	5,016,668
Per capita personal income	\$	31,987	\$	30,538	\$	29,699	\$	29,398	\$	28,463
Unemployment rate (%)		4.5		5.2		5.2		4.7		4.2

Source: California State Department of Finance, Bureau of Economic Analysis, Sacramento County Office of Education, and California State Employment Development Department.

## Principal Employers for the County of Sacramento

	Jι	JNE 30, 2	2010	J	2001	
			Percentage of Total County			Percentage of Total County
Employer (a)	Employees (b)	Rank	Employment	Employees (c)	Rank	Employment
Kaiser Permanente	10,081	1	1.65%	10,530	2	1.77%
CHW / Mercy Health Care	8,279	2	1.36	6,000	5	1.01
Sutter / California Health Services	7,314	3	1.20	16,600	1	2.78
Intel Corporation	6,000	4	0.98	5,000	9	0.84
Wells Fargo & Co.	3,690	5	0.61			
Raley's Inc. / Bel Air	3,401	6	0.56	6,430	4	1.08
PRIDE Industries	2,841	7	0.47			
Health Net of California	2,512	8	0.41			
Cache Creek Casino	2,460	9	0.40			
Pacific Gas and Electric Co.	2,169	10	0.63			
Oracle Corporation				8,500	3	1.43
Hewlett-Packard				5,800	6	0.97
Pacific Bell & Subsidiaries				5,658	7	0.95
Horizons West Inc.				5,400	8	0.91
Apple Computers				5,000	10	0.84
Total	48,747		8.00%	74,918		12.58%

<sup>(</sup>a) Pacific Bell merged with AT&T in November 2005: AT&T of California, which ranked No. 6 last year, did not provide information this year.

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$  Figures not available until April 2011

<sup>(</sup>b) Source: Sacramento Business Journal Annual Book of Lists

<sup>(</sup>c) Source: Sacramento Area Commerce and Trade Organization

## **Operating Information**

## **Activity Statistics**

LAST TEN FISCAL YEARS

	2010	2009 <sup>2</sup>	2008	2007	2006
SACRAMENTO INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT					
Passengers					
Enplanements	4,445,991	4,603,182	5,294,737	5,307,289	5,150,229
Deplanements	4,442,520	4,605,605	5,303,596	5,307,799	5,144,838
Total passengers	8,888,511	9,208,787	10,598,333	10,615,088	10,295,067
Air mail (lbs.)					
Inbound	2,159,140	2,135,099	420,402	2,356,604	8,488,572
Outbound	66,376	1,416,269	2,828,593	1,320,976	7,018,259
Total airmail	2,225,516	3,551,368	3,248,995	3,677,580	15,506,831
Air freight (lbs.)					
Inbound	66,909,099	68,613,761	89,168,308	79,697,218	75,706,041
Outbound	77,056,626	74,711,461	82,452,491	74,955,862	61,030,139
Total air freight	143,965,725	143,325,222	171,620,799	154,653,080	136,736,180
Aircraft operations	133,040	140,179	167,725	173,903	172,902
SACRAMENTO EXECUTIVE AIRPORT					
Aircraft operations	89,384	94,035	98,130	122,271	118,405
SACRAMENTO MATHER AIRPORT					
Air freight (lbs.)					
Inbound	40,932,110	56,285,576	81,703,461	72,609,458	68,851,888
Outbound	45,129,897	58,413,055	87,841,564	82,530,228	60,115,274
Total air freight	86,062,007	114,698,631	169,545,025	155,139,686	128,967,162
Aircraft operations	83,051	91,014	88,245	94,886	98,099
	2005	2004	2003	2002	2001
SACRAMENTO INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT					
Passengers					
Enplanements	4,986,171	4,563,607	4,314,273	4,042,585	4,093,049
Deplanements	4,974,239	4,551,895	4,332,641	4,025,712	4,092,629
Total passengers	9,960,410	9,115,502	8,646,914	8,068,297	8,185,678
Air mail (lbs.)					
Inbound	10,955,369	8,230,789	7,092,275	7,599,352	10,137,470
Outbound	10,373,197	14,043,719	14,300,327	17,018,953	22,807,330
Total airmail	21,328,566	22,274,508	21,392,602	24,618,305	32,944,800
Air freight (lbs.)					
Inbound	76,002,268	71,737,037	74,534,298	66,279,952	49,849,350
Outbound	55,446,447	58,015,622	60,348,955	56,605,573	47,870,051
Total air freight	131,448,715	129,752,659	134,883,253	122,885,525	97,719,401
Aircraft operations	162,397	162,416	159,795	153,846	150,737
SACRAMENTO EXECUTIVE AIRPORT					
Aircraft operations	109,765	140,935	120,200	112,153	125,643
SACRAMENTO MATHER AIRPORT					
Air mail (lbs.) <sup>1</sup>					
Inbound	-	-	-	13,269,189	100,742,973
Outbound				13,527,397	99,645,644
Total air mail	-			26,796,586	200,388,617
Air freight (lbs.)					
Inbound	67,014,010	67,511,356	59,180,276	61,048,773	73,951,857
Outbound	58,295,663	60,536,105	56,800,781	67,379,721	71,192,100
Total air freight	125,309,673	128,047,461	115,981,057	128,428,494	145,143,957
Aircraft operations	80,532	75,110	75,356	82,578	82,283

 $Source: Sacramento\ County\ Airport\ System\ activity\ reports.$ 

 $<sup>^{\</sup>mbox{\tiny 1}}\mbox{Air}$  mail operations began at Mather Airport in August 1999 and ceased in August 2001.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>2009 air mail and air freight figures revised.

## Operating Information (cont.)

## Scheduled Airline Service

As of June 30, 2010

### MAJOR AIRLINE SERVICE

Airline	Nonstop Service	One-Stop, Same-Plane Service
Alaska	Maui (Kahalului) (OGG) Seattle, WA (SEA)	
American	Dallas/Ft. Worth, TX (DFW)	Austin (AUS) Richmond (RIC)
Continental	Houston, TX (IAH)	
Delta	Atlanta, GA (ATL) Detriot (DTW) Minneapolis (MSP) Salt Lake City, UT (SLC)	Baltimore (BWI) Birmingham (BHM) Hartford (BDL) Kansas City (MCI) Missoula (MSO) St. Louis, MO (STL) Vancouver, BC (YVR)
Frontier	Denver, CO (DEN)	
Hawaiian	Honolulu, HI (HNL)	
JetBlue	Long Beach, CA (LGB) New York, NY (JFK)	
Mexicana	Guadalajara, Mexico (GDL) San Jose Cabo, Mexico (SJD)	
Southwest	Burbank, CA (BUR) Chicago, IL (MDW) Denver, CO (DEN) Las Vegas, NV (LAS) Los Angeles, CA (LAX) Ontario, CA (ONT) Orange County, CA (SNA) Phoenix, AZ (PHX) Portland, OR (PDX) San Diego, CA (SAN) Seattle, WA (SEA)	Albuquerque (ABQ) Baltimore (BWI) Boston (BOS) El Paso (ELP) Houston (HOU) Jacksonville (JAX) Kansas City (MCI) Nashville, TN (BNA) St. Louis, MO (STL) Tucsan (TUS)
United	Chicago, IL (ORD) Denver, CO (DEN) Washington, D.C. (IAD)	Columbus (CMH) Norfolk (ORF) Philadelphia (PHL)
US Airways	Phoenix, AZ (PHX)	Pittsburgh (PIT)

### REGIONAL AIRLINE SERVICE

Airline	Nonstop Service	
Horizon	Boise, ID (BOI) Palm Springs, CA (PSP) Portland, OR (PDX) San Jose, CA (SJC) Santa Barbara, CA (SBA) Spokane (GEG)	
United Express	Eureka/Arcata, CA (ACV) Los Angeles, CA (LAX) San Francisco, CA (SFO)	Crescent City (CEC)

## Operating Information (cont.)

## Principal Customers/Airport System Employees/Capital Assets

### FOR FISCAL YEARS 2009-10 AND 2000-01

### **Principal Customers**

-	200	9 - 10	200	00 - 01
	Customer Revenue	% Total Customer Revenue	Customer Revenue	% Total Customer Revenue
AMPCO/APCOA Parking <sup>1</sup>	\$ 45,474,402	38.22%	\$ 28,206,810	41.71%
Southwest Airlines	26,575,596	22.33	7,946,531	11.75
United Airlines	5,130,789	4.31	3,593,149	5.31
Delta Air Lines	4,249,478	3.57	-	-
	\$ 81,430,265	68.43%	\$ 39,746,490	58.77%

AMPCO took over operations January 1, 2006. APCOA Parking ceased operations on December 31, 2005.

### **Airport System Employees**

Full Time Employees

2009 - 10	2000 - 01
412	400

## **Capital Assets**

	2009-10	2008-09	2007-08	2006-07	2005-06
Aiports Licensed Vehicles:	4	4	4	4	4
Cars and Light Trucks	148	149	148	120	112
Buses	39	47	41	35	44
	2004-05	2003-04	2002-03	2001-02	2000-01
Aiports	2004-05	2003-04	2002-03	2001-02	2000-01
Aiports Licensed Vehicles:					
•					

Intentionally Blank

STATISTICAL

Intentionally Blank

## **Bond Disclosure Section**

This section contains the following subsections:

Annual Report

Historical Enplaned Passengers

Historical Aircraft Landed Weights

Airlines' Market Shares of Enplaned Passengers

Airline and Nonairline Operating Revenues

## **Annual Report**

In accordance with the requirements of the Continuing Disclosure Certificates for the Sacramento County Airport System Revenue Bonds, Series 2008, 2009 and 2010 and the Airport System PFC and Subordinated Revenue Bonds, Series 2008, 2009 and 2010 (collectively, the "Certificate") the Sacramento County Airport System (Airport System) is including this section to meet the requirements of Securities and Exchange Commission Rule 15c2-12(b)(5)(the Rule).

Beginning with the Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) for Fiscal Year 2007-2008, and each CAFR thereafter, the Bond Disclosure Section provides the required information consistent with Section 4 of the Certificate. The CAFR is filed with each National and State Repository specified in the Rule, and with any other repository that shall be identified in the future.

#### ANNUAL REPORT

The following items are required by the Certificate to be included in the Annual Report:

- A. The audited financial statements of the Airport System for the most recently completed Fiscal Year, prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles as promulgated to apply to governmental entities from time to time by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board. Refer to the Financial Section, pages 39-42 of this report.
- B. An annual updating, to reflect results of the most recently completed fiscal year, of the following tables:
  - 1. Historical Enplaned Passengers. Refer to EXHIBIT 1, page 89 of this report.
  - 2. Historical Aircraft Landed Weights. Refer to EXHIBIT 2, page 90 of this report.
  - 3. Airlines' Market Shares of Enplaned Passengers. Refer to EXHIBIT 3, page 91 of this report.
  - 4. Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Assets. Refer to the Statistical Section, Financial Trends, pages 69-70 of this report.
  - 5. Nonairline Operating Revenues. Refer to EXHIBIT 4, page 92 of this report.
  - 6. Summary of Historical Revenues, Expenses and Debt Service Coverage. Refer to the Statistical Section, Debt Capacity, pages 76-77 of this report.

### REPORTING OF SIGNIFICANT EVENTS

On July 22, 2010, Standard & Poor's Ratings Services (S&P) downgraded the outstanding Sacramento County Airport System Revenue Bonds, from "A+" to "A" for senior lien bonds and from "A" to "A-" for subordinate lien bonds, affecting the bond issues listed below.

On October 25, 2010, Assured Guaranty Corp. and Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp. bond insurer credit ratings were downgraded from "AAA" to "AA+" by Standard and Poor's Ratings Services.

The insurer provider ratings are also listed, with the higher rating taking precedence for each issue noted below.

#### Senior Lien Bonds:

County of Sacramento Airport System Senior Revenue Bonds, Series 2008A, 2008B, 2008C, 2009A and 2009B

Bond insurer Assured Guaranty S&P rating is "AA+"

Subordinate Lien Bonds:

County of Sacramento Airport System Subordinate and PFC Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2008D, 2008E, 2009C and 2009D

Bond insurer Assured Guaranty S&P rating is "AA+"

The above events were disclosed as material events when announced. No additional significant events, as identified in Section 5 of the Certificate, have occurred for any of the outstanding bonds issued by the Sacramento County Airport System, and there is no knowledge on the part of the County of any impending significant events that would require disclosure under the provisions of the Certificate.

## Historical Enplaned Passengers

#### **EXHIBIT 1**

#### FISCAL YEARS ENDED JUNE 30

ENPLANEMENTS	2010	2009	2008	2007	2006
Major and Other Airlines (a)	4,219,229	4,245,972	4,741,650	5,075,849	4,897,981
Regional Airlines	226,762	357,210	553,087	231,440	252,248
TOTAL	4,445,991	4,603,182	5,294,737	5,307,289	5,150,229
Percent Change From Prior Year	(3.4%)	(13.1%)	(0.2%)	3.1%	3.3%
ENPLANEMENTS	2005	2004	2003	2002	2001
Major and Other Airlines (a)	4,763,946	4,336,932	4,096,459	3,853,587	3,966,953
Regional Airlines	222,225	226,675	217,814	188,998	137,143
TOTAL	4,986,171	4,563,607	4,314,273	4,042,585	4,104,096

Source: Airport System statistics reports.

<sup>(</sup>a) Major airlines are defined for this analysis as scheduled airlines operating aircraft with 60 or more seats; other airlines are nonscheduled.

## $Historical\ Aircraft\ Landed\ Weight\ (\text{in 1,000 lb. units})$

EXHIBIT 2

## FISCAL YEARS ENDED JUNE 30

	2010	2009 1	2008	2007	2006
Passenger airlines					
Major and Other Airlines (a)	5,523,535	5,741,947	6,293,924	6,489,593	6,185,453
Regionals	211,532	233,100	456,937	304,247	288,094
SUBTOTAL	5,735,067	5,997,057	6,750,861	6,793,840	6,473,547
All cargo airlines	611,410	786,031	982,234	949,579	728,999
7 in curgo unimes		700,001	702,201	717,077	120,555
TOTAL	6,346,477	6,783,088	7,733,095	7,743,419	7,202,546
Percent change from prior year	(6.4%)	(12.0%)	(0.1%)	7.5%	2.0%
	2005	2004	2003	2002	2001
Passenger airlines					
Major and Other Airlines (a)	6,037,113	5,661,830	5,419,459	5,077,398	4,977,201
C .					
Major and Other Airlines (a)	6,037,113	5,661,830	5,419,459	5,077,398	4,977,201
Major and Other Airlines (a) Regionals	6,037,113 255,984	5,661,830 273,734	5,419,459 264,845	5,077,398 228,166	4,977,201 169,319
Major and Other Airlines (a) Regionals SUBTOTAL	6,037,113 255,984 6,293,097	5,661,830 273,734 5,935,564	5,419,459 264,845 5,684,304	5,077,398 228,166 5,305,564	4,977,201 169,319 5,146,520

Source: Airport System Records

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>2009 figures revised.

<sup>(</sup>a) Major airlines are defined for this analysis as scheduled airlines operating aircraft with 60 or more seats; other airlines are nonscheduled.

## Airlines' Market Shares of Enplaned Passengers

EXHIBIT 3
FISCAL YEARS ENDED JUNE 30

	2010	2009	2008	2007
PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL				
ENPLANEMENTS				
Major Airlines (a)				
Southwest Airlines	52.8%	52.6%	50.1%	49.9%
United Airlines	6.8	7.5	7.6	8.8
Delta Air Lines	5.5	4.4	4.5	5.0
US Airways (America West Airlines)	5.3	6.5	5.5	5.9
Alaska Airlines	4.2	4.0	4.3	4.8
American Airlines	4.0	3.2	3.8	4.1
Continental Airlines	3.6	3.5	2.9	2.8
Frontier Airlines	3.2	2.9	2.6	2.4
Jet Blue Airlines	2.4	2.1	2.2	2.8
Hawaiian Airlines	1.9	1.8	1.7	2.0
Northwest Airlines	1.2	2.4	2.0	2.0
Mexicana Airlines	1.3	1.3	1.1	1.1
Aloha Airlines	-	-	0.9	1.3
Regional Airlines				
Skywest	5.1	4.2	3.5	3.8
Horizon Airlines	2.7	2.7	2.9	2.3
Express Jet	-	0.8	2.8	0.3
ASA/Delta Connection	-	-	1.0	0.3
Air Canada Jazz	-	0.1	0.4	0.1
Mesa Airlines	-	-	0.2	0.2
All Other Airlines (b)				0.1
All Ouler Allillies (b)	-	-	-	0.1
All Other All lines (b)	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
RANKING				
RANKING				
RANKING Major Airlines (a)	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
RANKING Major Airlines (a) Southwest Airlines	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
RANKING Major Airlines (a) Southwest Airlines United Airlines Delta Air Lines	100.0% 1 2	100.0% 1 2	100.0% 1 2	100.0% 1 2
RANKING Major Airlines (a) Southwest Airlines United Airlines	100.0% 1 2 3	100.0% 1 2 4	100.0% 1 2 4	100.0% 1 2 4
RANKING Major Airlines (a) Southwest Airlines United Airlines Delta Air Lines US Airways (America West Airlines)	100.0% 1 2 3 4	100.0% 1 2 4 3	1 2 4 3	100.0% 1 2 4 3
RANKING Major Airlines (a) Southwest Airlines United Airlines Delta Air Lines US Airways (America West Airlines) Alaska Airlines	1 2 3 4 6	1 2 4 3 6	1 2 4 3 5	100.0% 1 2 4 3 5
RANKING Major Airlines (a) Southwest Airlines United Airlines Delta Air Lines US Airways (America West Airlines) Alaska Airlines American Airlines	1 2 3 4 6 7	1 2 4 3 6 8	1 2 4 3 5 6	100.0% 1 2 4 3 5 6
RANKING Major Airlines (a) Southwest Airlines United Airlines Delta Air Lines US Airways (America West Airlines) Alaska Airlines American Airlines Continental Airlines	1 2 3 4 6 7 8	1 2 4 3 6 8 7	1 2 4 3 5 6	100.0% 1 2 4 3 5 6 8
RANKING Major Airlines (a) Southwest Airlines United Airlines Delta Air Lines US Airways (America West Airlines) Alaska Airlines American Airlines Continental Airlines Frontier Airlines	1 2 3 4 6 7 8	1 2 4 3 6 8 7	1 2 4 3 5 6 9	1 2 4 3 5 6 8 9
RANKING Major Airlines (a) Southwest Airlines United Airlines Delta Air Lines US Airways (America West Airlines) Alaska Airlines American Airlines Continental Airlines Frontier Airlines Jet Blue Airlines	1 2 3 4 6 7 8 9	1 2 4 3 6 8 7 9	1 2 4 3 5 6 9 11	1 2 4 3 5 6 8 9
RANKING Major Airlines (a) Southwest Airlines United Airlines Delta Air Lines US Airways (America West Airlines) Alaska Airlines American Airlines Continental Airlines Frontier Airlines Jet Blue Airlines Hawaiian Airlines	1 2 3 4 6 7 8 9 11 12	1 2 4 3 6 8 7 9 12 13	1 2 4 3 5 6 9 11 12	1 2 4 3 5 6 8 9 8
RANKING Major Airlines (a) Southwest Airlines United Airlines Delta Air Lines US Airways (America West Airlines) Alaska Airlines American Airlines Continental Airlines Frontier Airlines Jet Blue Airlines Hawaiian Airlines Mexicana Airlines	1 2 3 4 6 7 8 9 11 12	1 2 4 3 6 8 7 9 12 13	1 2 4 3 5 6 9 11 12 14 15	1 2 4 3 5 6 8 9 8 11
RANKING Major Airlines (a) Southwest Airlines United Airlines Delta Air Lines US Airways (America West Airlines) Alaska Airlines American Airlines Continental Airlines Frontier Airlines Jet Blue Airlines Hawaiian Airlines Mexicana Airlines Northwest Airlines	1 2 3 4 6 7 8 9 11 12	1 2 4 3 6 8 7 9 12 13	1 2 4 3 5 6 9 11 12 14 15	100.0%  1 2 4 3 5 6 8 9 8 11 13 11
RANKING Major Airlines (a) Southwest Airlines United Airlines Delta Air Lines US Airways (America West Airlines) Alaska Airlines American Airlines Continental Airlines Frontier Airlines Jet Blue Airlines Hawaiian Airlines Mexicana Airlines Northwest Airlines Aloha Airlines	1 2 3 4 6 7 8 9 11 12	1 2 4 3 6 8 7 9 12 13	1 2 4 3 5 6 9 11 12 14 15	100.0%  1 2 4 3 5 6 8 9 8 11 13 11
RANKING Major Airlines (a) Southwest Airlines United Airlines Delta Air Lines US Airways (America West Airlines) Alaska Airlines American Airlines Continental Airlines Frontier Airlines Jet Blue Airlines Hawaiian Airlines Mexicana Airlines Northwest Airlines Aloha Airlines Regional Airlines	1 2 3 4 6 7 8 9 11 12 13 14	100.0%  1 2 4 3 6 8 7 9 12 13 14 11	1 2 4 3 5 6 9 11 12 14 15 13	1 2 4 3 5 6 8 9 8 11 13 11
RANKING Major Airlines (a) Southwest Airlines United Airlines Delta Air Lines US Airways (America West Airlines) Alaska Airlines American Airlines Continental Airlines Frontier Airlines Jet Blue Airlines Hawaiian Airlines Mexicana Airlines Northwest Airlines Aloha Airlines Regional Airlines Skywest	100.0%  1 2 3 4 6 7 8 9 11 12 13 14 -	100.0%  1 2 4 3 6 8 7 9 12 13 14 11 -	1 2 4 3 5 6 9 11 12 14 15 13 17	100.0%  1 2 4 3 5 6 8 9 8 11 13 11 12
RANKING Major Airlines (a) Southwest Airlines United Airlines Delta Air Lines US Airways (America West Airlines) Alaska Airlines American Airlines Continental Airlines Frontier Airlines Jet Blue Airlines Hawaiian Airlines Mexicana Airlines Northwest Airlines Aloha Airlines Regional Airlines Skywest Horizon Airlines	100.0%  1 2 3 4 6 7 8 9 11 12 13 14 -	100.0%  1 2 4 3 6 8 7 9 12 13 14 11 - 5 10	1 2 4 3 5 6 9 11 12 14 15 13 17	100.0%  1 2 4 3 5 6 8 9 8 11 13 11 12 7 10
RANKING Major Airlines (a) Southwest Airlines United Airlines Delta Air Lines US Airways (America West Airlines) Alaska Airlines American Airlines Continental Airlines Frontier Airlines Jet Blue Airlines Hawaiian Airlines Mexicana Airlines Northwest Airlines Aloha Airlines Regional Airlines Skywest Horizon Airlines Express Jet	100.0%  1 2 3 4 6 7 8 9 11 12 13 14 -	100.0%  1 2 4 3 6 8 7 9 12 13 14 11 - 5 10 15	100.0%  1 2 4 3 5 6 9 11 12 14 15 13 17  7 8 10	100.0%  1 2 4 3 5 6 8 9 8 11 13 11 12 7 10 14
RANKING Major Airlines (a) Southwest Airlines United Airlines Delta Air Lines US Airways (America West Airlines) Alaska Airlines American Airlines Continental Airlines Frontier Airlines Jet Blue Airlines Hawaiian Airlines Mexicana Airlines Northwest Airlines Aloha Airlines Regional Airlines Regional Airlines Skywest Horizon Airlines Express Jet ASA/Delta Connection	100.0%  1 2 3 4 6 7 8 9 11 12 13 14 -	100.0%  1 2 4 3 6 8 7 9 12 13 14 11 - 5 10 15	100.0%  1 2 4 3 5 6 9 11 12 14 15 13 17  7 8 10 16	100.0%  1 2 4 3 5 6 8 9 8 11 13 11 12  7 10 14 14

Source: Airport System statistics reports.

<sup>(</sup>a) Defined for this analysis as scheduled airlines operating with 60 or more seats.

<sup>(</sup>b) Includes nonscheduled, charter, and supplemental airlines.

## Airline and Nonairline Revenues

**EXHIBIT 4** 

## FISCAL YEARS ENDED JUNE 30

		2010		2009		2008
Airline Revenue					_	
Terminal building rents and fees	\$	28,182,839	\$	24,391,882	\$	10,991,364
Aircraft parking fees		532,477		3,403,731		884,287
Loading bridge fees Landing fees		2,954,288 25,823,533		2,830,286 10,471,032		963,552 22,108,669
Ţ						
TOTAL AIRLINE REVENUE	\$	57,493,137	\$	41,096,931	\$	34,947,872
NonAirline Revenue						
Airfield area						
Commerical fees	\$	-	\$	5,503	\$	10,393
Other landing fees		119,058		94,934		117,974
Fuel sales		739,085	_	845,638		1,129,119
SUBTOTAL	\$	858,143	\$	946,075	\$	1,257,486
Terminal building						
Food/beverage	\$	2,722,052	\$	3,181,139	\$	3,116,846
Merchandise		1,896,989		2,094,087		2,068,342
Advertising		659,788		456,643		651,857
Telephones		116,781		(34,920)		20,628
Vending	ф	201,910	Ф.	208,026	ф.	218,249
SUBTOTAL	\$	5,597,520	\$	5,904,975	\$	6,075,923
Parking						
Parking	\$	45,694,908	\$	49,811,395	\$	47,191,301
Other areas						
Auto rentals	\$	8,980,908	\$	9,842,490	\$	10,409,537
Auto rental shuttle bus fees	Ψ	2,651,844	Ψ	2,616,398	Ψ	2,254,039
Taxi/shuttle bus fees		516,929		425,291		478,115
Agricultural leases		-		-		(12,093)
Tie down and hangars		710,553		730,797		704,925
FBO rentals		158,336		166,622		165,389
Aviation ground leases		2,542,130		2,049,707		3,160,651
Other rentals/miscellaneous		3,447,453		4,003,275		3,739,078
SUBTOTAL	\$	19,008,152	\$	19,834,579	\$	20,899,642
Other revenue						
Service fees	\$	898,153	\$	1,100,127	\$	911,360
Miscellaneous revenue <sup>1</sup>		1,359,443		474,099		251,281
SUBTOTAL	\$	2,257,596	\$	1,574,227	\$	1,162,641
TOTAL NONAIRLINE OPERATING REVENUES		73,416,319		78,071,251		76,586,992
Interest income <sup>1</sup>		859,262		3,460,986		4,719,298
TOTAL NONAIRLINE REVENUE	\$	74,275,581	\$	81,532,237	\$	81,306,290

Source: Airport System audited financial statements.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>As defined in the Bond Master Indenture.

Intentionally Blank

Intentionally Blank